arXiv:1203.5590v2 [math.QA] 23 Apr 2012

CRYSTAL BASES OF q-DEFORMED KAC MODULES OVER THE QUANTUM SUPERALGEBRA $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$

JAE-HOON KWON

Abstract. We introduce the notion of a crystal base of a finite dimensional q-deformed Kac module over the quantum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$, and prove its existence and uniqueness. In particular, we obtain the crystal base of a finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$-module with typical highest weight. We also show that the crystal base of a q-deformed Kac module is compatible with that of its irreducible quotient $V(\lambda)$ given by Benkart, Kang and Kashiwara when $V(\lambda)$ is an irreducible polynomial representation.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Background.** Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantized enveloping algebra associated with a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The Kashiwara 's crystal base theory [11] is a study of a certain nice basis of a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module M at $q=0$, which still contains important combinatorial information on M, and for the last couple of decades it has been one of the most important and successful tools in understanding the structures of modules over both $\mathfrak{g}$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ be a general linear Lie superalgebra over the complex numbers and $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ its quantized enveloping algebra [19]. In [1], Benkart, Kang and Kashiwara developed the crystal base theory for a certain category of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$-modules called $\mathcal{O}_{int}$, which includes the irreducible factors appearing in a tensor power of the natural representation, often referred to as irreducible polynomial representations. An irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$-module in $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ can be viewed as a natural super-analogue of a finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m+n))$-module since it is isomorphic to an irreducible polynomial representation tensored by a one dimensional representation. They proved that an irreducible module in $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ has a unique crystal base, and showed that its associated crystal can be realized in terms of $(m|n)$-hook semistandard tableaux [2] when it is polynomial.

The crystal base of an irreducible module in $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ has several interesting features, which are not parallel to those over symmetrizable Kac-Moody (super) algebras

---

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (No. 2011-0006735).

[7, 11]. For example, it is twisted compared to the usual crystal base over $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m)\oplus\mathfrak{gl}(n))$ in the sense that it is a lower crystal base as a $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|0))$-module but is a upper crystal base as a $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(0|n))$-module. Its crystal also has fake highest weight elements so that it becomes quite non-trivial to prove that the crystal is connected.

The existence of a crystal base of an arbitrary finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$-module remains unknown unlike $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m+n))$-modules, and one of the main obstacles in this problem is that a finite dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$-module is not necessarily semisimple. Indeed, the semisimplicity of a tensor power of the natural representation together with its polarizability is an essential ingredient in proving the existence of a crystal base of an irreducible polynomial representation.

1.2. **Main results.** Let P be the integral weight lattice of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ and let P^+ be the set of integral weights dominant with respect to its even subalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(m|0)\oplus\mathfrak{gl}(0|n)$. The finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$-modules with weights in P are highest weight modules whose highest weights are parametrized by P^+. There is another important class of finite dimensional $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$-modules called *Kac modules*, which are indecomposable highest weight modules parametrized by P^+ [9]. As the generalized or parabolic Verma modules do for $\mathfrak{gl}(m+n)$-modules in a parabolic BGG category, they play the same role in a Kazhdan-Lusztig type character formula for finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$-modules [3, 4, 17].

The purpose of this paper is to develop the crystal base theory of a q-deformed Kac module $K(\lambda)$ over $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ for $\lambda\in P^+$. We introduce first the notion of a crystal base of $K(\lambda)$. Since $K(\lambda)$ does not necessarily belong to $\mathcal{O}_{int}$, we define modified Kashiwara operators $\widetilde{e}_0$ and $\widetilde{f}_0$ on $K(\lambda)$ associated to the odd isotropic simple root α_0, which are analogous to those on $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ for a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ [11]. Then we show that $K(\lambda)$ has a unique crystal base (Theorems 4.7 and 4.10), which is the main result in this paper. In particular, we obtain the crystal base of a finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$-module with typical highest weight.

The key idea of the proof is to realize $K(\lambda)$ as a q-deformation of the exterior algebra $\Lambda((\mathbb{C}^m)^*\otimes\mathbb{C}^n)$ [18] tensored by an irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)_0)$-module $V_{m,n}(\lambda)$ with highest weight λ. Note that the q-deformation of the exterior algebra $\Lambda((\mathbb{C}^m)^*\otimes\mathbb{C}^n)$ has natural commuting actions of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|0))$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(0|n))$ (or an action of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)_0)$) and here we extend it to a $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$-module by using a PBW type basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$. The crystal of $K(\lambda)$ has a simple description. As an underlying set, it is given by

$$\mathscr{P}(\Phi_1^-)\times\mathscr{B}_{m,n}(\lambda), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^{-})$ is the power set of the set of negative odd roots of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ and $\mathscr{B}_{m,n}(\lambda)$ is the crystal of $V_{m,n}(\lambda)$. Also, the crystal structure on (1.1) can be described easily (Section 5.1).

We next show that the crystal base of $K(\lambda)$ is compatible with that of its irreducible quotient $V(\lambda)$ when $V(\lambda)$ is an irreducible polynomial representation (Theorem 4.11), that is, the canonical projection from $K(\lambda)$ to $V(\lambda)$ sends the crystal base of $K(\lambda)$ onto that of $V(\lambda)$. Hence we may regard the crystal of $V(\lambda)$ as a subgraph of the crystal of $K(\lambda)$. We give a combinatorial description of its embedding (Section 5.3) using the $(m|n)$-hook tableaux crystal model for $V(\lambda)$ and the skew dual RSK algorithm introduced by Sagan and Stanley [16].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give necessary background on the quantum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$. In Section 3, we review the crystal base theory developed in [1]. In Section 4, we define the notion of a crystal base of a Kac module and state the main results, whose proofs are given in the following two sections.

Acknowledgement Part of this work was done while the author was visiting the Institute of Mathematics in Academia Sinica, Taiwan on January 2012. He would like to thank S.-J. Cheng for the invitation and helpful discussion, and the staffs for their warm hospitality.

2. QUANTUM SUPERALGEBRA $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$

2.1. **Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$.** For non-negative integers m, n, let $[m|n]$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded set with $[m|n]_0 = \{\,\overline{m}, \ldots, \overline{1}\,\}$, $[m|n]_1 = \{\,1, \ldots, n\,\}$ and a linear ordering $\overline{m} < \ldots < \overline{1} < 1 < \ldots < n$. We denote by $|a|$ the degree of $a \in [m|n]$. Let $\mathbb{C}^{[m|n]}$ be the complex superspace with a basis $\{\, v_a \,|\, a \in [m|n]\,\}$, where the parity of v_a is $|a|$.

Let $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ denote the Lie superalgebra of $[m|n] \times [m|n]$ complex matrices, which is spanned by E_{ab} $(a, b \in [m|n])$ with 1 in the ath row and the bth column, and 0 elsewhere [8].

Let $P^{\vee} = \bigoplus_{a\in[m|n]} \mathbb{Z}E_{aa}$ be the dual weight lattice and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P^{\vee}$ the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. Define $\epsilon_a \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ by $\langle E_{bb}, \epsilon_a\rangle = \delta_{ab}$ for $a, b \in [m|n]$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the natural pairing on $\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let $P = \bigoplus_{a\in[m|n]} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_a$ be the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. For $\lambda = \sum_{a\in[m|n]} \lambda_a \epsilon_a \in P$, the parity of λ is defined to be $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \mod 2$ and denoted by $|\lambda|$. Let $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)$ denote a symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P$ given by $(\epsilon_a \,|\, \epsilon_b) = (-1)^{|a|}\delta_{ab}$ for $a, b \in [m|n]$.

Let $I = I_{m|n} = \{\,\overline{m-1}, \ldots, \overline{1}, 0, 1 \ldots, n-1\,\}$, where we assume that $I_{m|0} = \{\,\overline{m-1}, \ldots, \overline{1}\,\}$ and $I_{0|n} = \{\,1 \ldots, n-1\,\}$. Then with respect to the Borel subalgebra spanned by E_{ab} $(a \leq b)$, the set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ is $\Pi = \{\, \alpha_k \,|\, k \in I_{m|n}\,\}$, where

$$\alpha_k = \begin{cases} \epsilon_{\overline{i+1}} - \epsilon_{\overline{i}}, & \text{if } k = \overline{i} \in I_{m|0}, \\ \epsilon_{\overline{1}} - \epsilon_1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \epsilon_j - \epsilon_{j+1}, & \text{if } k = j \in I_{0|n}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $(\alpha_k|\alpha_k) = 2$ (resp. -2) for $k \in I_{m|0}$ (resp. $I_{0|n}$), and $(\alpha_0|\alpha_0) = 0$. Let $Q = \bigoplus_{k\in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_k$ be the root lattice, and $Q^{\pm} = \pm\sum_{k\in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_k$. We have a partial ordering on P, where $\lambda \geq \mu$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu \in Q^+$ for $\lambda, \mu \in P$.

The set of positive roots, even positive roots and odd positive roots are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^+ &= \{\, \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b \,|\, a < b \,\}, \\ \Phi^+_0 &= \{\, \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b \,|\, a < b,\ |a| = |b| \,\} = \{\, \alpha \in \Phi^+ \,|\, (\alpha|\alpha) = \pm 2 \,\}, \\ \Phi^+_1 &= \{\, \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b \,|\, a < b,\ |a| \neq |b| \,\} = \{\, \alpha \in \Phi^+ \,|\, (\alpha|\alpha) = 0 \,\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

The simple coroot h_k $(k \in I)$ corresponding to α_k is the unique element in $P^\vee$ satisfying $l_k\langle h_k, \lambda\rangle = (\alpha_k|\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in P$, where $l_k = 1$ (resp. $l_k = -1$) for $k \in I_{m|0} \cup \{0\}$ (resp. $k \in I_{0|n}$).

Let

$$P^+ = \left\{ \lambda = \sum_{a\in[m|n]} \lambda_a \epsilon_a \in P \,\middle|\, \lambda_{\overline{m}} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{\overline{1}},\ \ \lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n \right\},$$

which is the set of dominant integral weights for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|0) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(0|n) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. For $\lambda \in P^+$, let

$$\lambda_+ = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{\overline{i}}\epsilon_{\overline{i}}, \qquad \lambda_- = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \epsilon_j,$$

Also, we let $\delta = \epsilon_{\overline{m}} + \cdots + \epsilon_{\overline{1}} - \epsilon_1 - \cdots - \epsilon_n$, where we have $\delta_+ = \epsilon_{\overline{m}} + \cdots + \epsilon_{\overline{1}}$ and $\delta_- = -\epsilon_1 - \cdots - \epsilon_n$.

2.2. **Quantum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$.** We assume that q is an indeterminate. The quanum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ is the associative superalgebra (or $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra) over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ generated by e_k, f_k $(\, k \in I \,)$ and q^h $(\, h \in P^\vee \,)$, which are subject to the following relations [1, 19]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\deg(q^h) = 0, \quad \deg(e_k) = \deg(f_k) = |\alpha_k|, && \\
&q^0 = 1, \quad q^{h+h'} = q^h q^{h'}, && \text{for } \ h, h' \in P^\vee, \\
&q^h e_k = q^{\langle h, \alpha_k \rangle} e_k q^h, \quad q^h f_k = q^{-\langle h, \alpha_k \rangle} f_k q^h, && \\
&e_k f_l - (-1)^{|\alpha_k||\alpha_l|} f_l e_k = \delta_{kl} \frac{t_k - t_k^{-1}}{q_k - q_k^{-1}}, && \\
&e_k e_l - (-1)^{|\alpha_k||\alpha_l|} e_l e_k = f_k f_l - (-1)^{|\alpha_k||\alpha_l|} f_l f_k = 0, && \text{if } (\alpha_k|\alpha_l) = 0, \\
&e_k^2 e_l - (q + q^{-1}) e_k e_l e_k + e_l e_k^2 = 0, && \text{if } k \neq 0 \text{ and } (\alpha_k|\alpha_l) \neq 0, \\
&f_k^2 f_l - (q + q^{-1}) f_k f_l f_k + f_l f_k^2 = 0, && \text{if } k \neq 0 \text{ and } (\alpha_k|\alpha_l) \neq 0, \\
&e_0 e_{\overline{1}} e_0 e_1 + e_{\overline{1}} e_0 e_1 e_0 + e_0 e_1 e_0 e_{\overline{1}} + e_1 e_0 e_{\overline{1}} e_0 - (q + q^{-1}) e_0 e_{\overline{1}} e_1 e_0 = 0, && \\
&f_0 f_{\overline{1}} f_0 f_1 + f_{\overline{1}} f_0 f_1 f_0 + f_0 f_1 f_0 f_{\overline{1}} + f_{\overline{1}} f_0 f_{\overline{1}} f_0 - (q + q^{-1}) f_0 f_{\overline{1}} f_1 f_0 = 0. &&
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $q_k = q^{l_k}$ and $t_k = q^{l_k h_k}$.

For simplicity, we will assume the following notations throughout the paper:

- $U = U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$,
- $U^{\pm}$: the subalgebras generated by e_k and f_k ($k \in I$), respectively,
- U^0 : the subalgebra generated by q^h ($h \in P^\vee$),
- $U_{m,n}$: the subalgebra generated by q^h, e_k, f_k ($h \in P^\vee, k \in I \setminus \{0\}$),
- $U^{\pm}_{m,n} = U_{m,n} \cap U^{\pm}$,
- $U_{m|0}$: the subalgebra generated by $q^{E_{\overline{i}\,\overline{i}}}, e_k, f_k$ ($i = 1, \ldots, m, k \in I_{m|0}$),
- $U_{0|n}$: the subalgebra generated by $q^{E_{jj}}, e_k, f_k$ ($j = 1, \ldots, n, k \in I_{0|n}$).

There is a Hopf superalgebra structure on U, where the comultiplication Δ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(q^h) = q^h \otimes q^h, \\
&\Delta(e_k) = e_k \otimes t_k^{-1} + 1 \otimes e_k, \\
&\Delta(f_k) = f_k \otimes 1 + t_k \otimes f_k,
\end{aligned}
$$

the antipode S is given by

$$
S(q^h) = q^{-h}, \quad S(e_k) = -e_k t_k, \quad S(f_k) = -t_k^{-1} f_k,
$$

and the couint ε is given by $\varepsilon(q^h) = 1$, $\varepsilon(e_k) = \varepsilon(f_k) = 0$ for $h \in P^\vee$ and $k \in I$.

A U-module M is always understood to be a U-supermodule, that is, $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ with $U_i M_j \subset M_{i+j}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ (see [8] for basic notions related with superalgebras). We also have a superalgebra structure on $U \otimes U$ with the multiplication $(u_1 \otimes u_2)(v_1 \otimes v_2) = (-1)^{|u_2||v_1|}(u_1 v_1) \otimes (u_2 v_2)$, where $|u|$ denotes the degree of a homogeneous element $u \in U$. Hence, we have a U-module structure on $M_1 \otimes M_2$ via the comultiplication Δ for U-modules M_1 and M_2.

For $\mu \in P$, $M_\mu = \{\, m \,|\, q^h m = q^{\langle h,\mu \rangle} m \ (h \in P^\vee) \,\}$ is called a weight space of M with weight μ. When $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} M_\mu$, we say that M has a weight space decomposition. Throughout this paper, we assume that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on M is induced from the parity of its weights when M has a weight space decomposition.

Note that $U_{m,n} \cong U_{m|0} \otimes U_{0|n}$ as a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra, and $U_{m|0}$ (resp. $U_{0|n}$) is isomorphic to the quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$ (resp. $U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$), whose $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-copy is generated by e_k, f_k and t_k for $k \in I_{m|0}$ (resp. $k \in I_{0|n}$). But, when we consider a $U_{0|n}$-module in this paper, we understand $U_{0|n}$ as $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, whose $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-copy is generated by $\mathfrak{e}_k = e_k$, $\mathfrak{f}_k = f_k$ and $\mathfrak{t}_k = t_k^{-1}$ with $v = v_k = q_k^{-1} = q$ for $k \in I_{0|n}$. We denote by $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)' = -(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)$ the symmetric bilinear form on the weight lattice of $U_{0|n}$ so that $(\alpha_k|\alpha_k)' = 2$ for $k \in I_{0|n}$.

For $\lambda \in P^+$, let $V_{m,n}(\lambda)$ be an irreducible $U_{m,n}$-module with highest weight λ, and let $V_{m|0}(\lambda_+)$ (resp. $V_{0|n}(\lambda_-)$) the irreducible highest weight module over $U_{m|0}$ (resp. $U_{0|n}$) with highest weight λ_+ (resp. λ_-). Note that $V_{m,n}(\lambda) \cong V_{m|0}(\lambda_+) \otimes V_{0|n}(\lambda_-)$ as a $U_{m,n}$-module.

2.3. **PBW type basis.** We have $U^\pm = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q^\pm} U^\pm_\alpha$, where $U^\pm_\alpha = \{\, u \,|\, q^h u q^{-h} = q^{\langle h,\alpha \rangle} u \ (h \in P^\vee) \,\}$. For $x \in U^+_\alpha$, $y \in U^+_\beta$, we define the super q-bracket by

$$[x, y]_q = xy - (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} q^{-(\alpha|\beta)} yx.$$

For $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ with $\alpha = \alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1} + \ldots + \alpha_l$ $(k < l)$, we define

$$e_\alpha = [[\cdots[[e_k, e_{k+1}]_q, e_{k+2}]_q \cdots]_q, e_l]_q.$$

Here, we assume a linear ordering $\overline{m-1} < \ldots < \overline{1} < 0 < 1 < \ldots < n-1$ on I, and $k+1$ denotes the adjacent element in I, which is larger than $k \in I$.

We define a linear ordering on Φ^+ by

$$\alpha < \beta \iff (a < c) \text{ or } (a = c \text{ and } b < d),$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$ with $\alpha = \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b$ and $\beta = \epsilon_c - \epsilon_d$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$ with $\alpha < \beta$, it is straightforward to check the following commutation relations (cf.[19]);

$$[e_\alpha, e_\beta]_q = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|\alpha||\delta|}(q^{(\alpha|\gamma-\alpha)} - q^{-(\alpha|\gamma-\alpha)}) e_\delta e_\gamma, & \text{for } a < c < b < d, \\ e_\gamma, & \text{for } b = c, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where we assume that $\alpha = \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b$, $\beta = \epsilon_c - \epsilon_d$, $\gamma = \epsilon_a - \epsilon_d$ and $\delta = \epsilon_c - \epsilon_b$. In particular, we have $e_\alpha^2 = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Phi^+_1$.

Proposition 2.1 (Proposition 10.4.1 in [19]). *Let*

$$B^+ = \Big\{ \overrightarrow{\prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+}} e_\alpha^{m_\alpha} \,\Big|\, m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \textit{ for } |\alpha| = 0 \textit{ and } m_\alpha = 0, 1 \textit{ for } |\alpha| = 1 \Big\},$$

where the product is taken in the order of $<$ *on* Φ^+*. Then* B^+ *is a* $\mathbb{Q}(q)$*-basis of* U^+*.*

Let $\sharp$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear anti-involution on U given by $e_k^\sharp = f_k$, $f_k^\sharp = e_k$ and $(q^h)^\sharp = q^h$ for $k \in I$ and $h \in P^\vee$. Then $B^- = (B^+)^\sharp$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis of U^-, and

$$U \cong U^- \otimes U^0 \otimes U^+ \tag{2.2}$$

as a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space with a basis $\{\, u^- q^h u^+ \,|\, u^\mp \in B^\mp,\ h \in P^\vee \,\}$ [19, Theorem 10.5.1]. Since U is a Hopf superalgebra, we have a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra homomorphism $\mathrm{ad} : U \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}(q)}(U)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{ad}(q^h)(u) = q^h u q^{-h}, \\ &\mathrm{ad}(e_k)(u) = (e_k u - (-1)^{|\alpha_k||u|} u e_k) t_k, \\ &\mathrm{ad}(f_k)(u) = f_k u - (-1)^{|\alpha_k||u|} t_k u t_k^{-1} f_k, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for $h \in P^\vee$, $k \in I$ and a homogeneous element u. For $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, we put $f_\alpha = e_\alpha^\sharp$. If $\alpha = \alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1} + \ldots + \alpha_l$ $(k < l)$, then we have

$$f_\alpha = \mathrm{ad}(f_l) \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}(f_{k+2}) \circ \mathrm{ad}(f_{k+1})(f_k).$$

By applying $\sharp$ to (2.1), we have

$$[f_\beta, f_\alpha]_q = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|\alpha||\delta|}(q^{(\alpha|\gamma-\alpha)} - q^{-(\alpha|\gamma-\alpha)}) f_\gamma f_\delta, & \text{for } a < c < b < d, \\ f_\gamma, & \text{for } b = c, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

2.4. **Parabolic decomposition and a q-deformed wedge space.**

For $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+$ with $\alpha = \epsilon_{\overline{i}} - \epsilon_j$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_\alpha &= \mathrm{ad}(f_{j-1}) \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}(f_1) \circ \mathrm{ad}(f_{\overline{i-1}}) \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}(f_{\overline{1}})(f_0) \\ &= \mathrm{ad}(f_{\overline{i-1}}) \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}(f_{\overline{1}}) \circ \mathrm{ad}(f_{j-1}) \circ \cdots \circ \mathrm{ad}(f_1)(f_0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Let K be the subalgebra of U^- generated by $\mathbf{f}_\alpha$ $(\alpha \in \Phi_1^+)$.

Let us define a linear ordering on Φ_1^+ by

$$\alpha \prec \beta \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (b < d) \text{ or } (b = d \text{ and } a > c)$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_1^+$ with $\alpha = \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b$ and $\beta = \epsilon_c - \epsilon_d$. For $S \subset \Phi_1^+$ with $S = \{\, \beta_1 \prec \cdots \prec \beta_r \,\}$, we put

$$\mathbf{f}_S = \mathbf{f}_{\beta_1} \cdots \mathbf{f}_{\beta_r}. \tag{2.6}$$

Here we assume that $\mathbf{f}_S = 1$ when $S = \emptyset$. It is straightforward to check that for $\alpha = \epsilon_{\overline{i}} - \epsilon_j$, $\beta = \epsilon_{\overline{k}} - \epsilon_l \in \Phi_1^+$ with $\alpha \prec \beta$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{f}_\alpha \mathbf{f}_\beta = -q\mathbf{f}_\beta \mathbf{f}_\alpha && \text{for} \ \ (i = k,\ j < l) \text{ or } (i < k,\ j = l),\\
&\mathbf{f}_\alpha \mathbf{f}_\beta = -\mathbf{f}_\beta \mathbf{f}_\alpha, && \text{for} \ \ i > k,\ j < l,\\
&\mathbf{f}_\alpha \mathbf{f}_\beta = -\mathbf{f}_\beta \mathbf{f}_\alpha + (q - q^{-1})\mathbf{f}_\gamma \mathbf{f}_\delta, && \text{for} \ \ i < k,\ j < l,\\
&\quad \mathbf{f}_\alpha^2 = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $\gamma = \epsilon_{\overline{i}} - \epsilon_l$ and $\delta = \epsilon_{\overline{k}} - \epsilon_j$.

Lemma 2.2. *$B_K = \{\, \mathbf{f}_S \,|\, S \subset \Phi_1^+ \,\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis of K, and*

$$U^- \cong K \otimes U^-_{m,n}$$

as a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space.

Proof. Given $u \in U^-$, we have $u = u_1 u_2$ for some $u_1 \in K$ and $u_2 \in U^-_{m,n}$ by (2.4) and (2.5). Hence $B = \{\, u_1 u_2 \,|\, u_1 \in B_K,\ u_2 \in B^- \cap U^-_{m,n} \}$ spans U^- since B_K spans K by (2.7) and $B^- \cap U^-_{m,n}$ is a basis of $U^-_{m,n}$ by Proposition 2.1. Considering the dimension of U^-_α for each $\alpha \in Q^-$, we see that B is linearly independent, and hence a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis of U^-. In particular, B_K is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis of K. □

Let us define another linear orderings on Φ_1^+ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \prec' \beta \quad &\Longleftrightarrow \quad (a > c) \text{ or } (a = c,\ b > d),\\
\alpha \prec'' \beta \quad &\Longleftrightarrow \quad (a > c) \text{ or } (a = c,\ b < d),
\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_1^+$ with $\alpha = \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b$ and $\beta = \epsilon_c - \epsilon_d$. Then as in (2.6), we may define $\mathbf{f}'_S$, $\mathbf{f}''_S$ ($S \subset \Phi_1^+$) and B'_K, B''_K under $\prec'$, $\prec''$, respectively. Suppose that $\mathbf{f}_S \in U^-_\alpha$ with

$$\alpha = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \epsilon_{\overline{i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j \epsilon_j \tag{2.8}$$

for some a_i and $b_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. By the first two relations in (2.7), we have

$$\mathbf{f}_S = \pm \mathbf{f}''_S = \pm(-q)^{\sum_i a_i(a_i-1)/2} \mathbf{f}'_S. \tag{2.9}$$

In particular, B'_K and B''_K are $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-bases of K. Note that

$$(\alpha|\alpha) = \sum_i a_i^2 - \sum_j b_j^2 = \sum_i a_i(a_i + 1) - \sum_j b_j(b_j + 1). \tag{2.10}$$

Remark 2.3. Note that K is a q-deformed exterior algebra generated by $(\mathbb{Q}(q)^m)^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}(q)^n$. This can be explained as follows. For $\alpha = \epsilon_{\overline{i}} - \epsilon_j \in \Phi_1^+$, let $k(\alpha) = i + m(j-1) \in \mathbb{N}$. We have a bijection from the set of non-empty $S \subset \Phi_1^+$ to the set of non-empty subsets in $\{\, 1, \ldots, mn \,\}$, by sending $S = \{\, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r \,\}$ to $\{\, k(\beta_1), \ldots, k(\beta_r) \,\}$.

Consider the q-deformed wedge space $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{r\geq 1}\Lambda^r$ in [18, Section 3.3] (here we replace n and l in [18] by m and n, respectively). Define a map

$$\kappa : K \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

by $\kappa(\mathbf{f}_S) = (-1)^{\sum b_j(b_j+1)/2} u_{k(\beta_1)}\wedge\cdots\wedge u_{k(\beta_r)}$ for $S = \{\,\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_r\,\}\subset\Phi_1^+$ with b_j as in (2.8). By comparing (2.7) and [18, Proposition 3.16] (see also [18, Remark 3.17 (ii)]), we see that κ is an injective $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra homomorphism.

2.5. **Kac modules.** Let L be the subalgebra of U generated by $U_{m,n}$ and e_0. For $\lambda\in P^+$, we extend $V_{m,n}(\lambda)$ to an L-module in an obvious way, and define

$$K(\lambda) = U\otimes_L V_{m,n}(\lambda).$$

to be the induced U-module. Since $L\cong U_{m,n}^-\otimes U^0\otimes U^+$ as a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space,

$$K(\lambda)\cong K\otimes V_{m,n}(\lambda), \tag{2.11}$$

as a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space by (2.2) and Lemma 2.2. Note that $K(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\mu\leq\lambda}K(\lambda)_\mu$ with $\dim K(\lambda)_\lambda = 1$, and $K(\lambda) = U^-1_\lambda$, where $K(\lambda)_\lambda = \mathbb{Q}(q)1_\lambda$. Hence $K(\lambda)$ is a finite dimensional highest weight module with highest weight λ. We call $K(\lambda)$ a (q-deformed) *Kac module with highest weight* λ. We define $V(\lambda)$ to be the maximal irreducible quotient of $K(\lambda)$, and denote the image of 1_λ by v_λ.

2.6. **Classical limits and typicality of Kac modules.** Let us consider classical limit of U-modules. We leave the detailed verification to the reader since the argument below are almost identical to the case of symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras (see [6, 15]).

Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{Q}[q,q^{-1}]$. Let M be a highest weight U-module generated by a highest weight vector u of weight $\lambda\in P$. We define

$$M_{\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{r\geq 0,\, i_1,\ldots,i_r\in I}\mathbf{A}f_{i_1}\ldots f_{i_r}u,\quad M_{\mu,\mathbf{A}} = \sum_{\substack{r\geq 0,\, i_1,\ldots,i_r\in I\\ \lambda-\alpha_{i_1}-\cdots-\alpha_{i_r}=\mu}}\mathbf{A}f_{i_1}\ldots f_{i_r}u.$$

Then $M_{\mathbf{A}} = \bigoplus_\mu M_{\mu,\mathbf{A}}$, and $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{A}}M_{\mu,\mathbf{A}} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}M_\mu$ since $\mathbf{A}$ is a principal ideal domain and $M_{\mu,\mathbf{A}}$ is a torsion free (hence free) $\mathbf{A}$-module. It is easy to check that the $\mathbf{A}$-module $M_{\mathbf{A}}$ is invariant under e_k, f_k, q^h and $\frac{q^h-q^{-h}}{q-q^{-1}}$ for $k\in I$ and $h\in P^\vee$.

Let $\varphi:\mathbf{A}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra homomorphism given by $\phi(f(x)) = f(1)$. Set

$$\overline{M} = M_{\mathbf{A}}\otimes_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbb{C},\quad \overline{M}_\mu = M_{\mu,\mathbf{A}}\otimes_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbb{C}.$$

Here $\mathbb{C}$ is understood to be an $\mathbf{A}$-module via φ. We have $\overline{M} = \bigoplus_\mu\overline{M}_\mu$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{M}_\mu = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{A}}M_{\mu,\mathbf{A}}$. Let $\overline{e_k}$, $\overline{f_k}$ and $\overline{h}$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-linear endomorphisms on $\overline{M}$ induced from e_k, f_k and $\frac{q^h-q^{-h}}{q-q^{-1}}$ for $k\in I$ and $h\in P^\vee$. Let $U_{\overline{M}}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{M})$ generated by $\overline{e_k}$, $\overline{f_k}$ and $\overline{h}$ for $k\in I$ and $h\in P^\vee$. Then there exists a

$\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism from $U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ to $\overline{U}_{\overline{M}}$ sending E_k, F_k and h to $\overline{e_k}$, $\overline{f_k}$ and $\overline{h}$, where $U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ is the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, and E_k, F_k denote the root vectors in $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ corresponding to α_k, $-\alpha_k$, respectively. Hence, $\overline{M}$ is a $U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$-module.

We see that $\overline{K(\lambda)}$ is a Kac module over $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ when $M = K(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in P^+$ by comparing the dimensions of weight spaces of $K(\lambda)$ and $\overline{K(\lambda)}$. For $\lambda \in P^+$, λ is called *typical* if $\langle \alpha, \lambda + \rho \rangle \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+$, where ρ is the Weyl vector of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ given by $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi_0^+}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\beta\in\Phi_1^+}\beta$. It is shown by Kac [9, Proposition 2.9] that $\overline{K(\lambda)}$ is irreducible when λ is typical.

Proposition 2.4. *For typical $\lambda \in P^+$, $K(\lambda)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Suppose that $K(\lambda)$ is not irreducible. Let M be a proper submodule of $K(\lambda)$. We may assume that M is a highest weight module. From the above argument, it follows that $\overline{M}$ is a proper submodule of $\overline{K(\lambda)}$, which is a contradiction since λ is typical. Hence $K(\lambda)$ is irreducible. □

3. REVIEW ON CRYSTAL BASE THEORY

3.1. **Crystal bases for $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$-modules.** Let us briefly recall the notion of crystal bases for integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$-modules (we refer the reader to [11] for more details in a general setting). Here we assume that $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_m) = U_{m|0}$.

Let M be an integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$-module with weight decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P} M_\lambda$ and $\dim M_\lambda < \infty$. For $u \in M_\lambda$ and $k \in I_{m|0}$, we have

$$u = \sum_{r\geq 0, -\langle h_k,\lambda\rangle} f_k^{(r)} u_r,$$

where $e_k u_r = 0$ for all $r \geq 0$. Here,

$$[r]_k = \frac{q_k^r - q_k^{-r}}{q_k - q_k^{-1}}, \quad [r]_k! = \prod_{s=1}^{r} [s]_k, \quad f_k^{(r)} = \frac{1}{[r]_k!} f_k^r.$$

Then the Kashiwara operators are defined by

$$\tilde{e}_k u = \sum_{r\geq 1} f_k^{(r-1)} u_r, \quad \tilde{f}_k u = \sum_{r\geq 0} f_k^{(r+1)} u_r. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\mathbb{A}$ denote the subring of $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ consisting of all rational functions which are regular at $q = 0$. A pair (L, B) is called a *lower crystal base of M* if

(1) L is an $\mathbb{A}$-lattice of M, where $L = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P} L_\lambda$ with $L_\lambda = L \cap M_\lambda$,
(2) $\tilde{e}_k L \subset L$ and $\tilde{f}_k L \subset L$ for $k \in I_{m|0}$,
(3) B is a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of L/qL, where $B = \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in P} B_\lambda$ with $B_\lambda = B \cap (L/qL)_\lambda$,
(4) $\tilde{e}_k B \subset B \sqcup \{0\}$, $\tilde{f}_k B \subset B \sqcup \{0\}$ for $k \in I_{m|0}$,
(5) for $b, b' \in B$ and $k \in I_{m|0}$, $\tilde{f}_k b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_k b'$.

For $b \in B$ and $k \in I_{m|0}$, we set

$$\varepsilon_k(b) = \max\{\, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \,|\, \tilde{e}_k^r b \neq 0 \,\}, \quad \varphi_k(b) = \max\{\, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \,|\, \tilde{f}_k^r b \neq 0 \,\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $k = \mathbb{Q}(q^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and let $\psi_M : k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} M \longrightarrow k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} M$ be a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear isomorphism given by $\psi_M(u) = q^{-\frac{(\lambda|\lambda)}{2}} u$ for $u \in M_\lambda$. For $k \in I_{m|0}$, we define $\widetilde{e}_k^{up}, \widetilde{f}_k^{up} : M \longrightarrow M$ by

$$\widetilde{e}_k^{up} = \psi_M \circ \widetilde{e}_k \circ \psi_M^{-1}, \quad \widetilde{f}_k^{up} = \psi_M \circ \widetilde{f}_k \circ \psi_M^{-1}.$$

Note that $\widetilde{e}_k^{up}$ and $\widetilde{f}_k^{up}$ are well-defined $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear operators on M even when $(\lambda|\lambda) \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$ for some weight λ of M. Then a pair (L, B) is called a *upper crystal base of M* if it satisfies the above conditions (1)–(5) with respect to $\widetilde{e}_k^{up}$ and $\widetilde{f}_k^{up}$.

Remark 3.1. If $\psi_M(M) = M$ and (L, B) is a lower crystal base of M, then $(\psi_M(L), \psi_M(B))$ is a upper crystal base of M. Also, if M is an integrable highest weight module with highest weight λ with a lower crystal base (L, B), then $q^{\frac{(\lambda|\lambda)}{2}} \psi_M(M) = M$ and $(q^{\frac{(\lambda|\lambda)}{2}} \psi_M(L), q^{\frac{(\lambda|\lambda)}{2}} \psi_M(B))$ is a upper crystal base of M.

Let M_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$-modules with lower crystal bases (L_i, B_i). Then $(L_1 \otimes L_2, B_1 \otimes B_2)$ is a lower crystal base of $M_1 \otimes M_2$. The operators $\widetilde{e}_k$, $\widetilde{f}_k$ act on $B_1 \otimes B_2$;

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_k(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_k b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_k(b_1) \geq \varepsilon_k(b_2), \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{e}_k b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_k(b_1) < \varepsilon_k(b_2), \end{cases} \\ \tilde{f}_k(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_k b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_k(b_1) > \varepsilon_k(b_2), \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_k b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_k(b_1) \leq \varepsilon_k(b_2). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, let (L_i^{up}, B_i^{up}) be upper crystal bases of M_i $(i = 1, 2)$, respectively. Denote by $M_1 \otimes_+ M_2$ be a tensor product with a $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_m)$-module structure induced from the comultiplication

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_+(q^h) &= q^h \otimes q^h, \\ \Delta_+(e_k) &= e_k \otimes 1 + t_k \otimes e_k, \\ \Delta_+(f_k) &= f_k \otimes t_k^{-1} + 1 \otimes f_k, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for $h \in P^\vee$ and $k \in I_{m|0}$. Then $(L_1^{up} \otimes L_2^{up}, B_1^{up} \otimes B_2^{up})$ is a upper crystal base of $M_1 \otimes_+ M_2$. The operators $\widetilde{e}_k^{up}$, $\widetilde{f}_k^{up}$ act on $B_1 \otimes B_2$ in the same way as in (3.3).

3.2. **Crystal bases of U-modules in $\mathcal{O}_{int}$.** Let $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ be the category of U-modules M satisfying the following conditions:

1. $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} M_\lambda$ with $\dim M_\lambda < \infty$,
2. M is an integrable $U_{m,n}$-module,
3. if $M_\lambda \neq 0$, then $\langle h_0, \lambda \rangle \geq 0$,

(4) if $\langle h_0, \lambda\rangle = 0$, then $e_0 M_\lambda = f_0 M_\lambda = 0$.

Let us review the notion of crystal bases for U-modules in $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ [1]. Let $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P} M_\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{int}$ and let $u \in M_\lambda$ be given. For $k \in I_{m|0}$, we define $\widetilde{e}_k u$ and $\widetilde{f}_k u$ to be as in (3.1) with u as an element of a $U_{m|0}$-module. For $k \in I_{0|n}$, we define $\widetilde{e}_k u$ and $\widetilde{f}_k u$ to be $\tilde{\mathfrak{e}}_k^{up} u$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_k^{up} u$ with u as an element of an $U_{0|n}$-module (see Section 3.1). For $k = 0$, we define

$$\tilde{e}_0 u = q^{-1} t_0 e_0 u, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}_0 u = f_0 u. \tag{3.5}$$

Then a pair (L, B) is called a *crystal base of* M if

(1) L is an $\mathbb{A}$-lattice of M, where $L = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in P} L_\lambda$ with $L_\lambda = L \cap M_\lambda$,
(2) $\tilde{e}_k L \subset L$ and $\tilde{f}_k L \subset L$ for $k \in I$,
(3) B is a pseudo-basis of L/qL (i.e. $B = B^\bullet \cup (-B^\bullet)$ for a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis $B^\bullet$ of L/qL),
(4) $B = \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in P} B_\lambda$ with $B_\lambda = B \cap (L/qL)_\lambda$,
(5) $\tilde{e}_k B \subset B \sqcup \{0\}$, $\tilde{f}_k B \subset B \sqcup \{0\}$ for $k \in I$,
(6) for $b, b' \in B$ and $k \in I$, $\tilde{f}_k b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_k b'$.

The set $B/\{\pm 1\}$ has an I-colored oriented graph structure, where $b \xrightarrow{k} b'$ if and only if $\tilde{f}_k b = b'$ for $k \in I$ and $b, b' \in B/\{\pm 1\}$. We call $B/\{\pm 1\}$ the *crystal* of M.

For $b \in B$ and $k \in I$, let $\varepsilon_k(b)$ and $\varphi_k(b)$ be as in (3.2). Let us recall the tensor product rule for the crystal bases of U-modules in $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ (see [1, Proposition 2.8]). Let M_i ($i = 1, 2$) be a U-module in $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ with a crystal base (L_i, B_i). Then $(L_1 \otimes L_2, B_1 \otimes B_2)$ is a crystal base of $M_1 \otimes M_2$. For $k \in I_{m|0}$, $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ act on $B_1 \otimes B_2$ as in (3.3). Note that $\Delta(\mathfrak{e}_k) = \mathfrak{e}_k \otimes \mathfrak{t}_k + 1 \otimes \mathfrak{e}_k$ and $\Delta(\mathfrak{f}_k) = \mathfrak{f}_k \otimes 1 + \mathfrak{t}_k^{-1} \otimes \mathfrak{f}_k$ for $k \in I_{0|n}$, and they coincide with $\Delta_+(\mathfrak{e}_k)$ and $\Delta_+(\mathfrak{f}_k)$ (see (3.4)) if we exchange the order of the tensor product. Hence, for $k \in I_{0|n}$, the formulas for $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ on $B_1 \otimes B_2$ are given by exchanging the positions of tensor factors in (3.3) since (L_i, B_i) are upper crystal bases of M_i as $U_{0|n}$-modules, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_k(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} b_1 \otimes \tilde{e}_k b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_k(b_2) \geq \varepsilon_k(b_1), \\ \tilde{e}_k b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_k(b_2) < \varepsilon_k(b_1), \end{cases} \\ \tilde{f}_k(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_k b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_k(b_2) > \varepsilon_k(b_1), \\ \tilde{f}_k b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_k(b_2) \leq \varepsilon_k(b_1). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

For $k = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}_0(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_0 b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \langle h_0, \mathrm{wt}(b_1)\rangle > 0, \\ \pm b_1 \otimes \tilde{e}_0 b_2, & \text{if } \langle h_0, \mathrm{wt}(b_1)\rangle = 0, \end{cases} \\
\tilde{f}_0(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_0 b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \langle h_0, \mathrm{wt}(b_1)\rangle > 0, \\ \pm b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_0 b_2, & \text{if } \langle h_0, \mathrm{wt}(b_1)\rangle = 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Here, wt denotes the weight function and the ± sign depends on the parity of $\mathrm{wt}(b_1)$.

3.3. **Semistandard tableaux.** Let us recall some basic background on tableaux (see [2, 5]), which will be used in later sections.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of partitions. A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is identified with a Young diagram. We denote by $\lambda' = (\lambda'_i)_{i\geq 1}$ its conjugate.

Let $\mathbb{A}$ be a linearly ordered set with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_0 \sqcup \mathbb{A}_1$. For a skew Young diagram λ/μ, a tableau T obtained by filling λ/μ with entries in $\mathbb{A}$ is called $\mathbb{A}$-semistandard if (1) the entries in each row (resp. column) are weakly increasing from left to right (resp. from top to bottom), (2) the entries in $\mathbb{A}_0$ (resp. $\mathbb{A}_1$) are strictly increasing in each column (resp. row). We say that λ/μ is the shape of T, and write $\mathrm{sh}(T) = \lambda/\mu$. We denote by $SST_{\mathbb{A}}(\lambda/\mu)$ the set of all $\mathbb{A}$-semistandard tableaux of shape λ/μ.

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ be given. For $T \in SST_{\mathbb{A}}(\lambda)$ and $a \in \mathbb{A}$, we denote by $a \to T$ the tableau obtained from T by applying the usual Schensted column insertion (see [5] and [2] for its super analogue). We also need the following variation of the Schensted's column insertion. Let λ^π be the skew Young diagram obtained by 180°-rotation of λ (sometimes called of anti-normal shape). For $T \in SST_{\mathbb{A}}(\lambda^\pi)$ and $a \in \mathbb{A}$, we define $T \leftarrow a$ to be the tableau of an anti-normal shape obtained from T by applying the following procedure;

1. If $|a| = 0$, then let a' be the largest entry in the right-most column which is smaller than or equal to a. If $|a| = 1$, then let a' be the largest entry, which is smaller than a.
2. replace a' by a. If there is no such a', put a at the top of the column and stop the procedure,
3. repeat (1) and (2) on the next column with a'.

For a finite word $w = w_1 \ldots w_r$ with letters in $\mathbb{A}$, we define $(w \to T) = (w_n \to (\cdots (w_1 \to T)))$ and $(T \leftarrow w) = (\cdots ((T \leftarrow w_r) \leftarrow w_{r-1}) \cdots) \leftarrow w_1$.

3.4. **Crystal bases of polynomial representations.** Let us review the results on the crystal bases of irreducible polynomial representations of U [1]. Let $V =$

$\bigoplus_{b\in[m|n]}\mathbb{Q}(q)v_b$ be the natural representation of U. Then V has a crystal base $(\mathscr{L},\mathscr{B})$ where $\mathscr{L}=\bigoplus_{b\in[m|n]}\mathbb{A}v_b$ and $\mathscr{B}=\{\,\pm\overline{v_b}\,|\,b\in[m|n]\,\}$. For simplicity, we identify $\mathscr{B}/\{\pm1\}$ with $[m|n]$ as a set, and the crystal of V is given by

$$\overline{m}\xrightarrow{\overline{m-1}}\overline{m-1}\xrightarrow{\overline{m-2}}\cdots\xrightarrow{\overline{1}}\overline{1}\xrightarrow{0}1\xrightarrow{1}\cdots\xrightarrow{n-2}n-1\xrightarrow{n-1}n.$$

For $r\geq 1$, $(\mathscr{L}^{\otimes r},\mathscr{B}^{\otimes r})$ is a crystal base of $V^{\otimes r}$. Let $\mathscr{W}$ be the set of all finite words with the letters in $[m|n]$. The empty word is denoted by $\emptyset$. Then $\mathscr{W}$ is a crystal of the tensor algebra since we may identify each non-empty word $w=w_1\cdots w_r$ with $w_1\otimes\cdots\otimes w_r\in\mathscr{B}^{\otimes r}/\{\pm1\}$, where $\{\emptyset\}$ forms a trivial crystal of weight 0.

Let

$$\widetilde{P}^{+}=\left\{\lambda=\sum_{a\in[m|n]}\lambda_a\epsilon_a\in P\;\middle|\;\lambda_{\overline{m}}\geq\ldots\geq\lambda_{\overline{1}}\geq\lambda'_1\geq\lambda'_2\geq\ldots\right\}.$$

For $r\geq 1$, $V^{\otimes r}$ is completely reducible and each irreducible U-module in $V^{\otimes r}$, which we call an irreducible polynomial representation, is isomorphic to $V(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda\in\widetilde{P}^{+}$ with $\sum_{a\in[m|n]}\lambda_a=r$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{m|n}=\{\,\mu=(\mu_i)_{i\geq1}\in\mathcal{P}\,|\,\mu_{m+1}\leq n\,\}$ which is called the set of $(m|n)$-hook partitions. Then the map sending $\mu=(\mu_i)_{i\geq1}$ to $\mu_1\epsilon_{\overline{m}}+\cdots+\mu_1\epsilon_{\overline{1}}+\nu'_1\epsilon_1+\cdots+\nu'_n\epsilon_n$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{P}_{m|n}$ to $\widetilde{P}^{+}$, where $\nu=(\nu_i)_{i\geq1}$ is given by $\nu_i=\mu_{m+i}$.

Now, let λ° be the Young diagram (or partition) corresponding to $\lambda\in\widetilde{P}^{+}$. For $T\in SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^{\circ})$, let $T(i,j)$ denote the entry of T located in the i-th row from the top and the j-th column from the left. Then we choose an embedding

$$\psi: SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^{\circ})\rightarrow\mathscr{W} \tag{3.8}$$

by reading the entries of T in $SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^{\circ})$ in such a way that $T(i,j)$ should be read before $T(i+1,j)$ and $T(i,j-1)$ for each i,j. The image of $SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^{\circ})$ under ψ together with 0 is stable under $\widetilde{e}_k,\widetilde{f}_k$ $(k\in I)$ and the induced I-colored oriented graph structure does not depend on the choice of ψ [1, Theorem 4.4]. Moreover, $SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^{\circ})$ is connected with a unique highest weight element [1, Theorem 4.8].

Theorem 3.2. ([1, Theorem 5.1]) *For $\lambda\in\widetilde{P}^{+}$, $V(\lambda)$ has a unique crystal base $(\mathscr{L}(\lambda),\mathscr{B}(\lambda))$ such that $\mathscr{L}(\lambda)_\lambda=\mathbb{A}v_\lambda$, and $\mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm1\}\cong SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^{\circ})$, that is, there is a weight preserving isomorphism of I-colored oriented graphs.*

4. Crystal bases of Kac modules

4.1. **Crystal base of $K(\lambda)$ as a $U_{m,n}$-module.** Let us consider the $U_{m,n}$-action on $K(\lambda)$ $(\lambda\in P^{+})$. For simplicity, let us write $u\cdot v=\mathrm{ad}(u)(v)$ for $u,v\in U$ (see (2.3)).

Let $k \in I \setminus \{0\}$ be given. By (2.5), we have for $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+$

$$e_k \cdot \mathbf{f}_\alpha = \mathbf{f}_{\alpha-\alpha_k}, \quad f_k \cdot \mathbf{f}_\alpha = \mathbf{f}_{\alpha+\alpha_k}, \quad t_k \cdot \mathbf{f}_\alpha = q^{-(\alpha|\alpha_k)}\mathbf{f}_\alpha. \tag{4.1}$$

Here we assume that $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha\pm\alpha_k} = 0$ when $\alpha \pm \alpha_k \notin \Phi_1^+$. For $S = \{\, \beta_1 \prec \cdots \prec \beta_r \,\} \subset \Phi_1^+$ and $v \in V_{m,n}(\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{align*}
e_k(\mathbf{f}_S \otimes v) &= (e_k \mathbf{f}_{\beta_1} \cdots \mathbf{f}_{\beta_r}) \otimes_L v \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathbf{f}_{\beta_1} \cdots \mathbf{f}_{\beta_{i-1}} (e_k \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\beta_i})(t_k^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\beta_{i+1}}) \cdots (t_k^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\beta_r}) \otimes_L t_k^{-1} v \\
&\quad + \mathbf{f}_{\beta_1} \cdots \mathbf{f}_{\beta_r} \otimes_L e_k v, \\
f_k(\mathbf{f}_S \otimes v) &= (f_k \mathbf{f}_{\beta_1} \cdots \mathbf{f}_{\beta_r}) \otimes_L v \tag{4.2} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r} (t_k \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\beta_1}) \cdots (t_k \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\beta_{i-1}})(f_k \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\beta_i}) \mathbf{f}_{\beta_{i+1}} \cdots \mathbf{f}_{\beta_r} \otimes_L v_0 \\
&\quad + (t_k \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\beta_1}) \cdots (t_k \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\beta_r}) \otimes_L f_k v, \\
t_k(\mathbf{f}_S \otimes v) &= (t_k \mathbf{f}_{\beta_1} \cdots \mathbf{f}_{\beta_r}) \otimes_L v = (t_k \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\beta_1}) \cdots (t_k \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\beta_r}) \otimes_L t_k v.
\end{align*}$$

Proposition 4.1. *For $\lambda \in P^+$, we have as a $U_{m,n}$-module*

$$K(\lambda) \cong K(0) \otimes V_{m,n}(\lambda).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $\{\, \mathbf{f}_S \otimes u_0 \,|\, \mathbf{f}_S \in B_K \,\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis of $K(0)$, where u_0 is the highest weight vector in $V_{m,n}(0)$. Define a map $\phi : K(\lambda) \longrightarrow K(0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} V_{m,n}(\lambda)$ by $\phi(\mathbf{f}_S \otimes_L v) = (\mathbf{f}_S \otimes_L u_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} v$ for $\mathbf{f}_S \in B_K$ and $v \in V_{m,n}(\lambda)$, which is a well defined $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear isomorphism by (2.11). Then ϕ is a $U_{m,n}$-module homomorphism by (4.2), and hence an isomorphism. $\square$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}(K) &= \bigoplus_{S \subset \Phi_1^+} \mathbb{A}\, \mathbf{f}_S 1_0 \subset K(0), \\
\mathscr{B}(K) &= \left\{\, \mathbf{f}_S 1_0 \mod q\mathscr{L}(K) \;\middle|\; S \subset \Phi_1^+ \,\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2. *$(\mathscr{L}(K), \mathscr{B}(K))$ is a lower crystal base of $K(0)$ as a $U_{m|0}$-module.*

Proof. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, put

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1^+[j] &= \{\, \epsilon_{\overline{i}} - \epsilon_j \,|\, i = 1, \ldots, m \,\}, \\
K(0)[j] &= \bigoplus_{S \subset \Phi_1^+[j]} \mathbb{Q}(q) \mathbf{f}_S 1_0.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.1) and (4.2), it is straightforward to check that as a $U_{m|0}$-module

$$K(0)[j] \cong V_{m|0}(0) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} V_{m|0}(-\epsilon_{\overline{1}} - \cdots - \epsilon_{\overline{i}}).$$

Since $\mathbf{f}_S = \mathbf{f}_{S[1]} \cdots \mathbf{f}_{S[n]}$ with $S[j] = S \cap \Phi_1^+[j]$ for $S \subset \Phi_1^+$, the map

$$\phi_{m|0} : K(0) \longrightarrow K(0)[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes K(0)[n] \tag{4.3}$$

given by $\phi_{m|0}(\mathbf{f}_S 1_0) = \mathbf{f}_{S[1]}1_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{f}_{S[n]}1_0$ is an isomorphism of $U_{m|0}$-modules by (4.2). Therefore, $(\mathscr{L}(K), \mathscr{B}(K))$ is a lower crystal base of $K(0)$ as a $U_{m|0}$-module since $(\mathscr{L}(K)[j], \mathscr{B}(K)[j])$ is a lower crystal base of $K(0)[j]$ and $\phi_{m|0}(\mathscr{L}(K)) = \mathscr{L}(K)[1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathscr{L}(K)[n]$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}(K)[j] &= \bigoplus_{S \subset \Phi_1^+[j]} \mathbb{A}\, \mathbf{f}_S 1_0, \\
\mathscr{B}(K)[j] &= \left\{\, \mathbf{f}_S 1_0 \mod q\mathscr{L}(K)[j] \,\middle|\, S \subset \Phi_1^+[j] \,\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}(K)' &= \bigoplus_{S \subset \Phi_1^+} \mathbb{A}\, q^{\omega(S)} \mathbf{f}'_S 1_0 \subset K(0), \\
\mathscr{B}(K)' &= \left\{\, q^{\omega(S)} \mathbf{f}'_S 1_0 \mod q\mathscr{L}(K)' \,\middle|\, S \subset \Phi_1^+ \,\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega(S) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(a_i-1)/2$ for $S \subset \Phi_1^+$ with $\sum_{\beta \in S} \beta = -\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \epsilon_{\overline{i}} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \epsilon_j$.

Proposition 4.3. *$(\mathscr{L}(K)', \mathscr{B}(K)')$ is a upper crystal base of $K(0)$ as a $U_{0|n}$-module.*

Proof. For $i = 1, \ldots, m$, put

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1^+\left[\overline{i}\right] &= \{\, \epsilon_{\overline{i}} - \epsilon_j \,|\, j = 1, \ldots, n \,\}, \\
K(0)\left[\overline{i}\right] &= \bigoplus_{S \subset \Phi_1^+\left[\overline{i}\right]} \mathbb{Q}(q)\mathbf{f}'_S 1_0 \subset K(0).
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.1) and (4.2),

$$K(0)\left[\overline{i}\right] \cong V_{0|n}(0) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} V_{0|n}(\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_j),$$

as a $U_{0|n}$-module. Since $K(0)\left[\overline{i}\right]$ has a lower crystal base

$$\left(\bigoplus_{S \subset \Phi_1^+\left[\overline{i}\right]} \mathbb{A}\, \mathbf{f}'_S 1_0, \;\; \left\{\, \overline{\mathbf{f}'_S 1_0} \,\middle|\, S \subset \Phi_1^+\left[\overline{i}\right] \,\right\} \right),$$

it has a upper crystal base

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}(K)'\left[\overline{i}\right] &= \bigoplus_{S\subset\Phi_1^+\left[\overline{i}\right]} \mathbb{A}\,q^{-\frac{(\beta_S|\beta_S)'}{2}}\mathbf{f}'_S 1_0 \\
\mathscr{B}(K)'\left[\overline{i}\right] &= \left\{\, q^{-\frac{(\beta_S|\beta_S)'}{2}}\mathbf{f}'_S 1_0 \mod q\mathscr{L}(K)'\left[\overline{i}\right] \;\middle|\; S\subset\Phi_1^+\left[\overline{i}\right] \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_S=\sum_{\beta\in S}\beta$. Note that for $S\subset\Phi_1^+\left[\overline{i}\right]$, we have $-(\beta_S|\beta_S)'/2=(\beta_S|\beta_S)/2=a_i(a_i-1)/2$, where $a_i=(\epsilon_{\overline{i}}|\beta_S)$.

The map

$$\phi_{0|n}: K(0)\longrightarrow K(0)[\overline{1}]\otimes\cdots\otimes K(0)[\overline{m}] \tag{4.4}$$

given by $\phi_{m|0}(\mathbf{f}'_S 1_0)=\mathbf{f}'_{S[\overline{1}]}1_0\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathbf{f}'_{S[\overline{m}]}1_0$ is an isomorphism of $U_{0|n}$-modules by (4.2) and the fact that $\mathbf{f}'_S=\mathbf{f}'_{S[\overline{1}]}\cdots\mathbf{f}'_{S[\overline{n}]}$ with $S\left[\overline{i}\right]=S\cap\Phi_1^+\left[\overline{i}\right]$ for $S\subset\Phi_1^+$. Moreover, we have $\phi_{0|n}(\mathscr{L}(K)')=\mathscr{L}(K)'[\overline{1}]\otimes_+\cdots\otimes_+\mathscr{L}(K)'[\overline{m}]$ since $\omega(S)=\omega(S[\overline{1}])+\cdots+\omega(S[\overline{m}])$. Therefore, $(\mathscr{L}(K)',\mathscr{B}(K)')$ is a upper crystal base of $K(0)$ (see (1.4.7) in [11]). Here we used $\otimes_+$ to emphasize that the comultiplication is Δ_+ (3.4) with respect to $\mathfrak{e}_k$, $\mathfrak{f}_k$ and $\mathfrak{t}_k$ $(k\in I_{0|n})$, where the order of tensor factors are reversed. □

Proposition 4.4. *We have $\mathscr{L}(K)=\mathscr{L}(K)'$ and $\mathscr{B}(K)/\{\pm1\}=\mathscr{B}(K)'/\{\pm1\}$.*

Proof. It follows directly from (2.9). □

4.2. **Crystal base of $K(\lambda)$.** Let us define the notion of a crystal base of a Kac module $K(\lambda)$. The definition of a crystal base in [1] is not available for $K(\lambda)$ since it does not belong to $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ in general. So we give a different definition, which is based on [11, Section 3].

Let e'_0 be a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear operator on U^- characterized by

(1) $e'_0(f_k)=\delta_{0k}$ for $k\in I$,
(2) $e'_0(uv)=e'_0(u)v+(-1)^{|\alpha|}q^{(\alpha_0|\alpha)}ue'_0(v)$ for $u\in U^-_\alpha, v\in U^-$.

It is straightforward to check that e'_0 is well-defined on U^-. We have another $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear operator on U^- given by

(1) $e''_0(f_k)=\delta_{0k}$ for $k\in I$,
(2) $e''_0(uv)=e''_0(u)v+(-1)^{|\alpha|}q^{-(\alpha_0|\alpha)}ue''_0(v)$ for $u\in U^-_\alpha, v\in U^-$.

These two operators satisfy the following

$$e_0P-(-1)^{|\alpha|}Pe_0=\frac{t_0e''_0(P)-t_0^{-1}e'_0(P)}{q-q^{-1}}$$

for $P\in U^-_\alpha$ (see [11, Section 3.3]).

Lemma 4.5. *We have*

$$U^- = \operatorname{Ker} e_0' \oplus \operatorname{Im} f_0.$$

Here we understand f_0 *as a linear operator acting on* U^- *by the left multiplication.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $e_0'(\mathbf{f}_\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Phi_0^+$. Suppose that $\alpha \in \Phi_1^+$. If $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_k$, then $\mathbf{f}_\alpha = \operatorname{ad}(f_k)(f_0) = f_k f_0 - q^{-(\alpha_k|\alpha_0)} f_0 f_k$ and $e_0'(\mathbf{f}_\alpha) = q^{-(\alpha_k|\alpha_0)} f_k - q^{-(\alpha_k|\alpha_0)} f_k = 0$. If $\alpha = \beta + \alpha_k$ for some $\beta \in \Phi_1^+$ and $k \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{f}_\alpha = \operatorname{ad}(f_k)(\mathbf{f}_\beta) = f_k \mathbf{f}_\beta - q^{-(\alpha_k|\beta)} \mathbf{f}_\beta f_k$ and we have $e_0'(\mathbf{f}_\alpha) = 0$ by induction on the height of α. Hence, we have for $\alpha \in \Phi^+$

$$e_0'(\mathbf{f}_\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Let W_1 be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-span of $B_1 = \{\, \mathbf{f}_S u_0 \,|\, S \subset \Phi_1^+ \,(\alpha_0 \notin S),\, u_0 \in U_{m,n} \,\}$ and let W_2 be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-span of $B_2 = \{\, \mathbf{f}_S u_0 \,|\, S \subset \Phi_1^+ \,(\alpha_0 \in S),\, u_0 \in U_{m,n} \,\}$. Then $U^- = W_1 \oplus W_2$. Since $W_1 \subset \operatorname{Ker} e_0'$, $W_2 \subset \operatorname{Im} f_0$ by (4.5), and $\operatorname{Ker} e_0' \cap \operatorname{Im} f_0 = \{0\}$, we have $W_1 = \operatorname{Ker} e_0'$ and $W_2 = \operatorname{Im} f_0$. □

For $\lambda \in P^+$, we may identify $K(\lambda)$ with U^-/I_λ, where I_λ is a left U_--ideal generated by $f_k^{\langle h_k, \lambda\rangle + 1}$ $(k \in I \setminus \{0\})$. Since $e_0'(I_\lambda) = 0$, it induces a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear map on $K(\lambda)$, which we still denote by e_0'. For $u \in K(\lambda)$, we define

$$\widetilde{e}_0 u = e_0'(u), \quad \widetilde{f}_0 u = f_0 u. \tag{4.6}$$

Definition 4.6. For $\lambda \in P^+$, a pair (L, B) is a *crystal base of* $K(\lambda)$ if

1. L is an $\mathbb{A}$-lattice of M, where $L = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} L_\mu$ with $L_\mu = L \cap K(\lambda)_\mu$,
2. $\tilde{e}_k L \subset L$ and $\tilde{f}_k L \subset L$ for $k \in I$,
3. B is a pseudo-basis of L/qL, where $B = \bigsqcup_{\mu \in P} B_\mu$ with $B_\mu = B \cap (L/qL)_\mu$,
4. $\tilde{e}_k B \subset B \sqcup \{0\}$, $\tilde{f}_k B \subset B \sqcup \{0\}$ for $k \in I$,
5. for any $b, b' \in B$ and $k \in I$, we have $\tilde{f}_k b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_k b'$.

Let us call the I-colored oriented graph $B/\{\pm 1\}$ a *crystal of* $K(\lambda)$.

4.3. **Main results.**

Now, let us state our main results in this paper. Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be given. Let $(\mathscr{L}^{\lambda_+}, \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_+})$ be a lower crystal base of $V_{m|0}(\lambda_+)$, and $(\mathscr{L}^{\lambda_-}, \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_-})$ is a upper crystal base of $V_{0|n}(\lambda_-)$. Set

$$\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda)) = \bigoplus_{S \subset \Phi_1^+} \mathbb{A}\mathbf{f}_S \otimes \mathscr{L}^{\lambda_+} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{\lambda_-} \subset K(\lambda),$$

$$\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda)) = \left\{ \pm \mathbf{f}_S \otimes b_+ \otimes b_- \,\middle|\, S \subset \Phi_1^+,\ b_\pm \in \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_\pm} \right\} \subset \mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))/q\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda)),$$

where we assume that $1_\lambda \in \mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))_\lambda$.

Theorem 4.7 (Existence). *$(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda)), \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda)))$ is a crystal base of $K(\lambda)$.*

Proof. By (4.5), we have for $S \subset \Phi_1^+$

$$e'_0(\mathbf{f}_S) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{f}_{S\setminus\{\alpha_0\}}, & \text{if } \alpha_0 \in S, \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha_0 \notin S, \end{cases} \qquad f_0(\mathbf{f}_S) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{f}_{S\cup\{\alpha_0\}}, & \text{if } \alpha_0 \notin S, \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha_0 \in S. \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

This implies that $\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))$ and $\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda)) \sqcup \{0\}$ are invariant under $\widetilde{e}_0$ and $\widetilde{f}_0$, and $\tilde{f}_0 b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_0 b'$ for $b, b' \in \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))$. The other conditions in Definition 4.6 follow from Propositions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4. Hence $(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda)), \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda)))$ is a crystal base of $K(\lambda)$. □

Moreover, we have the following results.

Theorem 4.8 (Connectedness). *The crystal $\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$ is connected.*

Corollary 4.9. *We have*

$$\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda)) = \sum_{r\geq 0, k_1,\ldots,k_r \in I} \mathbb{A}\widetilde{x}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{x}_{k_r} 1_\lambda,$$
$$\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda)) = \{\, \pm \widetilde{x}_{k_1}\cdots\widetilde{x}_{k_r} 1_\lambda \mod q\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda)) \,|\, r \geq 0, k_1, \ldots, k_r \in I \,\} \setminus \{0\},$$

where $x = e$ or f for each k_i.

Theorem 4.10 (Uniqueness). *A crystal base of $K(\lambda)$ is unique up to a scalar multiplication.*

Theorem 4.11 (Compatibility). *Let $\lambda \in \widetilde{P}^+$ be given. Let $\pi_\lambda : K(\lambda) \longrightarrow V(\lambda)$ be the U-module homomorphism such that $\pi_\lambda(1_\lambda) = v_\lambda$. Then*

(1) $\pi_\lambda(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))) = \mathscr{L}(\lambda)$,

(2) $\overline{\pi}_\lambda(\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))) = \mathscr{B}(\lambda) \cup \{0\}$, *where* $\overline{\pi}_\lambda : \mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))/q\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda)) \to \mathscr{L}(\lambda)/q\mathscr{L}(\lambda)$ *is the induced $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map,*

(3) $\overline{\pi}_\lambda$ *restricts to a weight preserving bijection*

$$\overline{\pi}_\lambda : \{\, b \in \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda)) \,|\, \overline{\pi}_\lambda(b) \neq 0 \,\}/\{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm 1\},$$

which commutes with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in I$.

The proof of Theorem 4.8 is given in Section 5.2 after a combinatorial description of $\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$ in Section 5.1. As in the case of an irreducible polynomial representation, $\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$ may have a fake highest weight element, that is, there exists b such that $\mathrm{wt}(b) \neq \lambda$ but $\widetilde{e}_k b = 0$ for all $k \in I$. Theorem 4.10 follows from Theorem 4.8 and [1, Lemma 2,7 (iii) and (iv)]. The proof of Theorem 4.11 is given in Section 6.

5. COMBINATORICS OF CRYSTALS OF KAC MODULES

5.1. **Description of crystal operators on $\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$.** Fix $\lambda \in P^+$. The map sending $(\mathbf{f}_S \otimes b_+ \otimes b_-)$ to $(-S, b_+, b_-)$ gives a bijection

$$\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-) \times \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_+} \times \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_-}$$

as a set, where $\mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-)$ is the power set of $\Phi_{\overline{1}}^- = -\Phi_{\overline{1}}^+$, and $-S = \{-\beta \,|\, \beta \in S\}$ for $S \subset \Phi_{\overline{1}}^+$.

Note that $\mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-)$ can be identified with $\mathscr{B}(K(0))/\{\pm 1\}$ and its structure can be described as follows. Let $S \in \mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-)$ be given with $S = \{\, \beta_1 \prec \ldots \prec \beta_r \,\} = \{\, \beta'_1 \prec' \ldots \prec' \beta'_r \,\}$. Here, we assume that for $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_{\overline{1}}^-$, $\alpha \prec \beta$ (resp. $\alpha \prec' \beta$) if and only if $-\alpha \prec -\beta$ (resp. $-\alpha \prec' -\beta$). For $k = 0$, we have by (4.7)

$$\widetilde{e}_0(S) = \begin{cases} S \setminus \{-\alpha_0\}, & \text{if } -\alpha_0 \in S, \\ 0, & \text{if } -\alpha_0 \notin S, \end{cases} \qquad \widetilde{f}_0(S) = \begin{cases} S \cup \{-\alpha_0\}, & \text{if } -\alpha_0 \notin S, \\ 0, & \text{if } -\alpha_0 \in S. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $k \neq 0$. By (4.1), we have for $i = 1, \ldots, r$

$$\widetilde{e}_k(\beta_i) = \begin{cases} \beta_i + \alpha_k, & \text{if } \beta_i + \alpha_k \in \Phi_{\overline{1}}^-, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \qquad \widetilde{f}_k(\beta_i) = \begin{cases} \beta_i - \alpha_k, & \text{if } \beta_i - \alpha_k \in \Phi_{\overline{1}}^-, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Then by (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$\widetilde{x}_k S = \begin{cases} \{\, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r \,\}, & \text{if } k \in I_{m|0} \text{ and } \widetilde{x}_k(\beta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_r) = \gamma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_r, \\ \{\, \gamma'_1, \ldots, \gamma'_r \,\}, & \text{if } k \in I_{0|n} \text{ and } \widetilde{x}_k(\beta'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta'_r) = \gamma'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma'_r, \end{cases}$$

$(x = e, f)$ following (3.3) and (3.6). Here we assume that $\widetilde{x}_k S = 0$ if $\widetilde{x}_k(\beta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta_r) = 0$ or $\widetilde{x}_k(\beta'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \beta'_r) = 0$.

By Theorem 4.7, we have the following.

Proposition 5.1. *For $(S, b_+, b_-) \in \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$ and $k \in I$,*

$$\tilde{x}_k(S, b_+, b_-) = \begin{cases} (S', b'_+, b_-), & \text{if } k \in I_{m|0} \text{ and } \tilde{x}_k(S \otimes b_+) = S' \otimes b'_+, \\ (S'', b_+, b''_-), & \text{if } k \in I_{0|n} \text{ and } \tilde{x}_k(S \otimes b_-) = S'' \otimes b''_-, \\ (\tilde{x}_0 S, b_+, b_-), & \text{if } k = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $x = e, f$, and $\widetilde{x}_k(S, b_+, b_-) = 0$ if any of its components is 0.

Let $\mathscr{B}_+ = \{\, \overline{m} < \ldots < \overline{1} \,\}$ and $\mathscr{B}_- = \{\, 1 < \ldots < n \,\}$, which are the subsets of even and odd elements in $\mathscr{B}$, respectively. Suppose that $\lambda \in P^+$ is given, where $\mu = (\lambda_{\overline{m}}, \ldots, \lambda_{\overline{1}})$ and $\nu = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ are partitions. By Theorem 3.2, we may identify $\mathscr{B}^{\lambda_+}$ with an $I_{m|0}$-colored subgraph $SST_{\mathscr{B}_+}(\mu)$ of $SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\mu)$ and $\mathscr{B}^{\lambda_-}$ with an $I_{0|n}$-colored subgraph $SST_{\mathscr{B}_-}(\nu')$ of $SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\nu')$.

Example 5.2. Suppose that $(m|n) = (3|3)$ and $\lambda = 4\epsilon_{\overline{3}} + 3\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + 2\epsilon_{\overline{1}} + 3\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \in P^+$. Then we may identify $\mathscr{B}^{\lambda_+}$ with $SST_{\mathscr{B}_+}(4,3,2)$ and $\mathscr{B}^{\lambda_-}$ with $SST_{\mathscr{B}_-}(2,1,1)$. Consider the following triple $(S,U,V) \in \mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-) \times \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_+} \times \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_-}$, where

$$(S,U,V) = \left(\{ -\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + \epsilon_1, -\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + \epsilon_2, -\epsilon_{\overline{1}} + \epsilon_3 \} \ , \ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \overline{3}&\overline{3}&\overline{3}&\overline{2}\\ \hline \overline{2}&\overline{2}&\overline{1}\\ \cline{1-3} \overline{1}&\overline{1}\\ \cline{1-2}\end{array} \ , \ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\ \hline 2\\ \cline{1-1} 2\\ \cline{1-1}\end{array} \right).$$

It is clear that $\widetilde{e}_0(S,U,V) = 0$ and $\widetilde{f}(S,U,V) = (S \cup \{-\alpha_0\}, U, V)$.

Let us compute $\widetilde{f}_{\overline{2}}(S,U,V)$. Since $S = \{-\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + \epsilon_1 \prec -\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + \epsilon_2 \prec -\epsilon_{\overline{1}} + \epsilon_3\}$ and $\varphi_{\overline{2}}(S) = 2 > \varepsilon_{\overline{2}}(U) = 1$, we have $\widetilde{f}_{\overline{2}}(S \otimes U) = \left(\widetilde{f}_{\overline{2}} S\right) \otimes U$ and hence

$$\widetilde{f}_{\overline{2}}(S,U,V) = \left(\{ -\epsilon_{\overline{3}} + \epsilon_1, -\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + \epsilon_2, -\epsilon_{\overline{1}} + \epsilon_3 \} \ , \ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \overline{3}&\overline{3}&\overline{3}&\overline{2}\\ \hline \overline{2}&\overline{2}&\overline{1}\\ \cline{1-3} \overline{1}&\overline{1}\\ \cline{1-2}\end{array} \ , \ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\ \hline 2\\ \cline{1-1} 2\\ \cline{1-1}\end{array} \right).$$

Next, let us compute $\widetilde{f}_2(S,U,V)$. In this case, $S = \{-\epsilon_{\overline{1}} + \epsilon_3 \prec' -\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + \epsilon_2 \prec' -\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + \epsilon_1\}$ and $\varphi_2(V) = 1 > \varepsilon_2(S) = 0$, which implies that $\widetilde{f}_2(S \otimes V) = S \otimes \left(\widetilde{f}_2 V\right)$ (see (3.6)). Therefore,

$$\widetilde{f}_2(S,U,V) = \left(\{ -\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + \epsilon_1, -\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + \epsilon_2, -\epsilon_{\overline{1}} + \epsilon_3 \} \ , \ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \overline{3}&\overline{3}&\overline{3}&\overline{2}\\ \hline \overline{2}&\overline{2}&\overline{1}\\ \cline{1-3} \overline{1}&\overline{1}\\ \cline{1-2}\end{array} \ , \ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\ \hline 2\\ \cline{1-1} 3\\ \cline{1-1}\end{array} \right).$$

Remark 5.3. One may identify $\mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-)$ with $\mathcal{M} = \{ A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n} \,|\, a_{ij} = 0, 1 \}$. The map sending S to A is a bijection from $\mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-)$ to $\mathcal{M}$, where $a_{ij} = 1$ if and only if $-\epsilon_{\overline{i}} + \epsilon_j \in S$.

5.2. **Connectedness of $\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$.** Let $\mathscr{B}_+^\vee = \{ \overline{1}^\vee, \ldots, \overline{m}^\vee \}$ be the crystal associated to the dual of the natural representation of $U_{m|0}$. We assume that $\mathscr{B}_+^\vee$ has a linear ordering $\overline{1}^\vee < \cdots < \overline{m}^\vee$. For a skew Young diagram μ/ν, we may define $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ ($k \in I_{m|0}$) on $SST_{\mathscr{B}_+^\vee}(\mu/\nu)$ in the same way as in the case of $SST_{\mathscr{B}_+}(\mu/\nu)$ (cf.[12]).

Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be given. We assume that $\lambda_{\overline{m}} < 0$ and $\lambda_n > 0$, and put

$$\mu = (\ell + \lambda_{\overline{m}}, \ldots, \ell + \lambda_{\overline{1}}), \quad \nu = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)'.$$

where ℓ is a positive integer such that $\ell + \lambda_{\overline{1}} > 0$. We may identify $\mathscr{B}^{\lambda_+}$ with $SST_{\mathscr{B}_+^\vee}((\ell^m)/\mu)$, and $\mathscr{B}^{\lambda_-}$ with $SST_{\mathscr{B}_-}(\nu)$ so that $\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$ can be identified with

$$\mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-) \times SST_{\mathscr{B}_+^\vee}((\ell^m)/\mu) \times SST_{\mathscr{B}_-}(\nu).$$

Suppose that $S = \{\,\beta_1 \prec \cdots \prec \beta_r\,\} \in \mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-)$ is given with $\beta_k = -\epsilon_{\overline{i_k}} + \epsilon_{j_k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$. Let $w(S) = \overline{i_1}^{\vee} \dots \overline{i_r}^{\vee}$, which is a finite word with alphabets in $\mathscr{B}_+^{\vee}$. For $U \in SST_{\mathscr{B}_+^{\vee}}((\ell^m)/\mu)$, we define $P(U \leftarrow S) = (U \leftarrow w(S))$. Suppose that $\mathrm{sh}P(U \leftarrow S) = (\ell^m)/\eta$ for some $\eta \subset \mu$. For $1 \leq k \leq r$, let us fill a box in μ/η with j_k if it is created when $\overline{i_k}^{\vee}$ is inserted into $((S \leftarrow \overline{i_r}^{\vee})\cdots) \leftarrow \overline{i_{k+1}}^{\vee}$. This defines the recording tableau $Q(U \leftarrow S)$. One can check that $Q(U \leftarrow S) \in SST_{\mathscr{B}_+}(\mu/\eta)$ by modifying the proof of the dual RSK algorithm (cf.[5, 16]), and that the correspondence from (S, U) to $(P(U \leftarrow S), Q(U \leftarrow S))$ is reversible. Hence the map sending (S, U, V) to $(P(U \leftarrow S), Q(U \leftarrow S), V)$ gives a bijection

$$\rho_\lambda : \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{K}_\lambda,$$

where

$$\mathscr{K}_\lambda = \bigsqcup_{\eta \subset \mu} SST_{\mathscr{B}_+^{\vee}}((\ell^m)/\eta) \times SST_{\mathscr{B}_-}(\mu/\eta) \times SST_{\mathscr{B}_-}(\nu).$$

Let us define $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ on $\mathscr{K}_\lambda$ for $k \in I$. The operators $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ $(k \in I \setminus \{0\})$ are clearly defined on $\mathscr{K}_\lambda$ (for $k \in I_{0|n}$ we use the tensor product rule (3.6) on the second and third components). Let us define $\widetilde{e}_0$ and $\widetilde{f}_0$ on $\mathscr{K}_\lambda$. Let $(P, Q, V) \in \mathscr{K}_\lambda$ be given. For $k \geq 1$, let a_k and b_k be the top entries in the kth columns of P and Q (enumerated from the right), and let

$$\sigma_k = \begin{cases} + & \text{if } a_k > \overline{1}^{\vee} \text{ or the } k\text{th column is empty}, \\ - & \text{if } a_k = \overline{1}^{\vee} \text{ and } b_k = 1, \\ \cdot & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let k_0 be the smallest such that $\sigma_{k_0} \neq \cdot$. If $\sigma_{k_0} = +$, then we define $\widetilde{e}_0(P, Q, V) = 0$ and $\widetilde{f}_0(P, Q, V) = (P', Q', V)$, where (P', Q') is the pair of tableaux obtained from (P, Q) by adding $\boxed{\overline{1}^{\vee}}$ and $\boxed{1}$ on top of the k_0th columns of P and Q, respectively. If $\sigma_{k_0} = -$, then we define $\widetilde{f}_0(P, Q, V) = 0$ and $\widetilde{e}_0(P, Q, V) = (P', Q', V)$, where (P', Q') is the pair of tableaux obtained from (P, Q) by removing $\boxed{\overline{1}^{\vee}}$ and $\boxed{1}$ in the k_0th columns of P and Q, respectively. If $\sigma_k = \cdot$ for all k, then we define $\widetilde{e}_0(P, Q, V) = \widetilde{f}_0(P, Q, V) = 0$. Note that $\widetilde{x}_0(P, Q, V) \in \mathscr{K}_\lambda$ if $\widetilde{x}_0(P, Q, V) \neq 0$ $(x = e, f)$.

Lemma 5.4. *ρ_λ is a weight preserving bijection, which commutes with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in I$.*

Proof. By construction, ρ_λ is a weight preserving bijection. So it remains to show that ρ_λ commutes with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in I$.

Let $(S, U, V) \in \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$ be given with $\rho_\lambda(S, U, V) = (P, Q, V)$.

Suppose that $k \neq 0$. Then we claim that

$$\widetilde{x}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{x}_{i_r}(S \otimes U) \neq 0 \iff \widetilde{x}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{x}_{i_r} P \neq 0, \tag{5.1}$$

for $i_1, \ldots, i_r \in I_{m|0}$ $(r \geq 1)$, and

$$\widetilde{x}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{x}_{i_r} S \neq 0 \iff \widetilde{x}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{x}_{i_r} Q \neq 0, \tag{5.2}$$

for $i_1, \ldots, i_r \in I_{0|n}$ $(r \geq 1)$, where $x = e, f$ for each i_k. We consider a binary matrix $M = (m_{ab})$ whose row indices are from $\mathscr{B}_+^\vee$ and column indices are from a linearly ordered set $\mathscr{B}_- \cup C$ for some linearly ordered $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded set C with $|c| = 1$ and $k < c$ for all $k \in \mathscr{B}_-$ and $c \in C$. Let $w(M) = (\overline{i_1}^\vee, j_1) \ldots (\overline{i_r}^\vee, j_r)$ be a biword such that

1. $\overline{i_p}^\vee \in \mathscr{B}_+^\vee$ and $j_p \in \mathscr{B}_- \cup C$ for $p = 1, \ldots, r$,
2. $j_p < j_{p+1}$ or $(i_p < i_{p+1}$ and $j_p = j_{p+1})$ for $p = 1, \ldots, r-1$,
3. $m_{ab} = 1$ if and only if $(a, b) = (\overline{i_p}^\vee, j_p)$ for some $p = 1, \ldots, r$.

Let $\mathbf{P} = (((\emptyset \leftarrow \overline{i_r}^\vee) \leftarrow \overline{i_{r-1}}^\vee) \cdots \leftarrow \overline{i_1}^\vee)$ and $\mathbf{Q} = (((\emptyset \leftarrow j_r) \leftarrow j_{r-1}) \cdots \leftarrow j_1)$, where $\emptyset$ is the empty tableau. By the dual RSK correspondence, we have $\mathbf{P} \in SST_{\mathscr{B}_+^\vee}(\tau^\pi)$ and $\mathbf{Q} \in SST_{\mathscr{B}_- \cup C}(\tau^\pi)$ for some $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$. Suppose that

1. $m_{ab} = 1$ if and only if $-\epsilon_a + \epsilon_b \in S$ (see Remark 5.3),
2. $((((\emptyset \leftarrow \overline{i_r}^\vee) \leftarrow \overline{i_{r-1}}^\vee) \cdots \leftarrow \overline{i_s}^\vee) = U$, where $j_p \in C$ if and only if $p = s, \ldots, r$.

Then $\mathbf{P} = P$ and Q is obtained by ignoring the entries from C in the recording tableau $\mathbf{Q}$. Since the (dual) RSK correspondence is an isomorphism of bicrystals [14] (see also Remark 5.3 and [13]), we have (5.1) and (5.2). Therefore, ρ_λ commutes with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in I \setminus \{0\}$.

Suppose that $k = 0$. We assume that $S = \{\beta_1 \prec \cdots \prec \beta_r\} \in \mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-)$ with $\beta_k = -\epsilon_{\overline{i_k}} + \epsilon_{j_k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$, and hence $w(S) = \overline{i_1}^\vee \ldots \overline{i_r}^\vee$.

Suppose that $\widetilde{e}_0(P, Q, V) = (P', Q', V) \neq 0$. Let k_0 be such that $\sigma_k = \cdot$ for $k < k_0$ and $\sigma_{k_0} = -$. So we have $\boxed{\overline{1}^\vee}$ and $\boxed{1}$ in the k_0th columns of P and Q, respectively. Considering the bumping paths for each letter $\overline{i_k}^\vee$ in $U \leftarrow w(S)$, we see that $-\alpha_0 \in S$ (that is, $\beta_1 = -\epsilon_{\overline{1}} + \epsilon_1$ or $i_1 = j_1 = 1$), and the insertion of $\overline{1}^\vee$ into $(\cdots((U \leftarrow \overline{i_r}^\vee) \leftarrow \overline{i_{r-1}}^\vee) \cdots \leftarrow \overline{i_2}^\vee)$ creates the pairs $\boxed{\overline{1}^\vee}$ and $\boxed{1}$ in the k_0th columns. This implies that

$$P(U \leftarrow w(S \setminus \{-\alpha_0\})) = P', \quad Q(U \leftarrow w(S \setminus \{-\alpha_0\})) = Q',$$

and

$$\rho_\lambda(\widetilde{e}_0(S, U, V)) = \rho_\lambda(S \setminus \{-\alpha_0\}, U, V) = (P', Q', V) = \widetilde{e}_0(P, Q, V).$$

Similarly, we can check that $\rho_\lambda(\widetilde{f}_0(S, U, V)) = \widetilde{f}_0(P, Q, V)$ if $\widetilde{f}_0(P, Q, V) \neq 0$. Therefore, ρ_λ commutes with $\widetilde{e}_0$ and $\widetilde{f}_0$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.8. Let $D(k)$ denote the one dimensional U-module with weight $k\delta$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $K(\lambda)\otimes D(k) \cong K(\lambda + k\delta)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K(\lambda)\otimes D(k)$ has a crystal base, whose crystal can be identified with

$$\mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-) \times \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_+ + k\delta_+} \times \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_- + k\delta_-}.$$

Recall that there exist bijections

$$\sigma^k : \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_+} \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_+ + k\delta_+}, \qquad \tau^k : \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_-} \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_- + k\delta_-}, \tag{5.3}$$

which commute with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in I_{m|0}$ and $I_{0|n}$, respectively, with $\mathrm{wt}(\sigma^k(b_+)) = \mathrm{wt}(b_+) + k\delta_+$ and $\mathrm{wt}(\tau^k(b_+)) = \mathrm{wt}(b_+) + k\delta_-$ for $b_\pm \in \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_\pm}$ (see [13, Section 5.3] for more details). This impies that there exists a bijection

$$\varsigma^k : \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(\Phi_{\overline{1}}^-) \times \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_+ + k\delta_+} \times \mathscr{B}^{\lambda_- + k\delta_-}, \tag{5.4}$$

which commutes with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ $(k \in I)$ and $\mathrm{wt}(\varsigma^k(b)) = \mathrm{wt}(b) + k\delta$.

Hence we may only consider the case when $\lambda_{\overline{m}} < 0$ and $\lambda_n > 0$ (by taking $k \ll 0$ in (5.4)). By Lemma 5.4, we may identify $\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$ with $\mathscr{K}_\lambda$.

Now, we can apply the same argument in [1, Theorem 4.8] to prove that the crystal $\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$ is connected (the only difference is that a subtableau obtained from the first m rows of $T \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm 1\} = SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^\circ)$ in the proof of [1, Theorem 4.8] is replaced with a pair of tableaux (P, Q) in the first two components of $\mathscr{K}_\lambda$). □

5.3. Embedding of $\mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm 1\}$ into $\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$.

Let $\lambda \in \widetilde{P}^+$ be given. By Theorem 4.11, there exists a unique injective map

$$\xi_\lambda : \mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\} \tag{5.5}$$

such that for $b \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm 1\}$ and $k \in I$

1. $\mathrm{wt}(\xi_\lambda(b)) = \mathrm{wt}(b)$,
2. $\xi_\lambda(\widetilde{x}_k b) = \widetilde{x}_k \xi_\lambda(b)$ if $\widetilde{x}_k b \neq 0$ $(x = e, f)$.

The purpose of this subsection is to give an explicit description of ξ_λ.

Let $\lambda^\circ = (\lambda^\circ_i)_{i\geq 1}$ be the partition in $\mathcal{P}_{m|n}$ corresponding to λ. We may identify $\mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm 1\}$ with $SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^\circ)$ by Theorem 3.2. Let

$$\mu = (\lambda^\circ_1, \ldots, \lambda^\circ_m), \quad \nu = (\lambda^\circ_{m+1}, \lambda^\circ_{m+2}, \ldots).$$

For $T \in SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^\circ)$, let

- $T_{\leq m}$: the subtableau of shape μ consisting of the first m rows in T,
- $T^+_{\leq m}$: the subtableaux of $T_{\leq m}$ with entries in $\mathscr{B}_+$,
- $T^-_{\leq m}$: the subtableaux of $T_{\leq m}$ with entries in $\mathscr{B}_-$,
- $T_{>m}$: the complement of $T_{\leq m}$ in T.

Note that $\mathrm{sh}(T^+_{\leq m}) = \eta$ and $\mathrm{sh}(T^-_{\leq m}) = \mu/\eta$ for some $\eta = (\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_m) \in \mathcal{P}$, and $T_{>m} \in SST_{\mathscr{B}_-}(\nu)$ can be regarded as an element in $\mathscr{B}^{\lambda_-}$.

Let $\ell = \lambda^\circ_1 = \mu_1$. Consider

$$\sigma^{-\ell} : SST_{\mathscr{B}_+}(\eta) \longrightarrow SST_{\mathscr{B}_+^\vee}((\ell^m)/\eta)$$

which commutes with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in I_{m|0}$ (see (5.3)). Then the map sending T to $(\sigma^{-\ell}(T^+_{\leq m}), T^-_{\leq m}, T_{>m})$ gives an injective map

$$\imath_\lambda : \mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{K}_{\lambda - \ell\delta_+}. \tag{5.6}$$

It is straightforward to check that (1) $\mathrm{wt}(\imath_\lambda(b)) = \mathrm{wt}(b) - \ell\delta_+$, (2) $\imath_\lambda(\widetilde{x}_k b) = \widetilde{x}_k \imath_\lambda(b)$ if $\widetilde{x}_k b \neq 0$ $(x = e, f)$ for $k \in I$. Since we have bijections

$$\mathscr{K}_{\lambda-\ell\delta_+} \xrightarrow{\rho^{-1}_{\lambda-\ell\delta_+}} \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda - \ell\delta_+))/\{\pm 1\} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\times\sigma^\ell\times\mathrm{id}} \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}\ , \tag{5.7}$$

which commute with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in I$, by composing (5.6) and (5.7) we obtain a required map (5.5).

Example 5.5. Suppose that $(m|n) = (3|3)$. Let $\lambda = 4\epsilon_{\overline{3}} + 3\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + 2\epsilon_{\overline{1}} + 2\epsilon_1$. Then $\lambda^\circ = (4, 3, 2, 1, 1) \in \mathcal{P}_{3|3}$. Consider

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \overline{3} & \overline{3} & \overline{2} & \overline{1} \\ \hline \overline{2} & \overline{1} & 3 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} \\ \cline{1-3} 1 & 2 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} \\ \cline{1-2} 1 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} \\ \cline{1-1} 2 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array} \quad \in SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^\circ).$$

Keeping the above notations, we have

$$T^+_{\leq 3} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \overline{3} & \overline{3} & \overline{2} & \overline{1} \\ \hline \overline{2} & \overline{1} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} \\ \cline{1-2} \end{array}\ , \quad T^-_{\leq 3} = \begin{array}{ccc} \cline{3-3} & & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{3} \\ \hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{1} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{2} & \\ \cline{1-2} \end{array}\ , \quad T_{>3} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}\ .$$

Then

$$\sigma^{-4}\left(T^+_{\leq 3}\right) = \begin{array}{cccc} \cline{3-4} & & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\overline{1}^\vee} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\overline{2}^\vee} \\ \hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\overline{1}^\vee} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\overline{2}^\vee} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\overline{3}^\vee} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\overline{3}^\vee} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

and $\imath_\lambda(T) = (\sigma^{-4}\left(T^+_{\leq 3}\right), T^-_{\leq 3}, T_{>3}) \in \mathscr{K}_{\lambda - 4\delta_+}$. Applying $\rho^{-1}_{\lambda-4\delta_+}$ to this triple (see the proof of Lemma 5.4), we get $(S, U, T_{>3}) \in \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda - 4\delta_+))/\{\pm 1\}$, where

$$S = \{\, -\epsilon_{\overline{3}} + \epsilon_1, -\epsilon_{\overline{2}} + \epsilon_2, -\epsilon_{\overline{1}} + \epsilon_3 \,\}\ , \quad U = \begin{array}{cc} \cline{2-2} & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\overline{1}^\vee} \\ \hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{\overline{2}^\vee} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\overline{3}^\vee} \\ \hline \end{array}\ .$$

Applying σ^4 to U, we have

$$\xi_\lambda(T)=\left(\{\,-\epsilon_{\overline{3}}+\epsilon_1,-\epsilon_{\overline{2}}+\epsilon_2,-\epsilon_{\overline{1}}+\epsilon_3\,\}\ ,\ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \overline{3}&\overline{3}&\overline{3}&\overline{2}\\ \hline \overline{2}&\overline{2}&\overline{1}\\ \cline{1-3} \overline{1}&\overline{1}\\ \cline{1-2}\end{array}\ ,\ \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\ \hline 2\\ \hline\end{array}\right),$$

which belongs to $\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$.

6. Compatibility with crystals of polynomial representations

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 4.11. We fix $\lambda\in\widetilde{P}^+$ throughout this section.

6.1. Since $K(\lambda)$ is completely reducible as a $U_{m,n}$-module, we have

$$K(\lambda)=\bigoplus_{i\in X_\lambda}K(\lambda;\sigma_i),$$

where X_λ is an index set and $K(\lambda;\sigma_i)$ is an irreducible $U_{m,n}$-submodule with highest weight σ_i. Let $X'_\lambda\subset X_\lambda$ be such that $\operatorname{Ker}\pi_\lambda=\bigoplus_{i\in X'_\lambda}K(\lambda;\sigma_i)$, and put $Y_\lambda=X_\lambda\setminus X'_\lambda$. We have as a $U_{m,n}$-module

$$V(\lambda)=\bigoplus_{i\in Y_\lambda}V(\lambda;\sigma_i),$$

where $V(\lambda;\sigma_i)=\pi_\lambda(K(\lambda;\sigma_i))\cong K(\lambda;\sigma_i)$ as a $U_{m,n}$-module for $i\in Y_\lambda$. For $i\in X_\lambda$, put

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda;\sigma_i))=\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))\cap K(\lambda;\sigma_i),\\ &\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda;\sigma_i))=\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))\cap\left(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda;\sigma_i))/q\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda;\sigma_i))\right).\end{aligned}$$

By Propositions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, $(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda;\sigma_i)),\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda;\sigma_i))/\{\pm1\})$ is a lower crystal base of $K(\lambda;\sigma_i)$ as a $U_{m|0}$-module and a upper crystal base as a $U_{0|n}$-module, and

$$\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))=\bigoplus_{i\in X_\lambda}\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda;\sigma_i)),\quad \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))=\bigsqcup_{i\in X_\lambda}\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda;\sigma_i)),$$

(see [11, Lemma 2.6.3]). Let

$$\mathscr{L}(\lambda)'=\pi_\lambda(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))),\quad \mathscr{B}(\lambda)'=\overline{\pi}_\lambda(\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))),$$

where $\overline{\pi}_\lambda:\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))/q\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))\rightarrow\mathscr{L}(\lambda)'/q\mathscr{L}(\lambda)'$ is the induced $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map. For $i\in Y_\lambda$, put

$$\mathscr{L}(\lambda;\sigma_i)'=\pi_\lambda(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda;\sigma_i))),\quad \mathscr{B}(\lambda;\sigma_i)'=\overline{\pi}_\lambda(\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda;\sigma_i))).$$

Then $(\mathscr{L}(\lambda;\sigma_i)',\mathscr{B}(\lambda;\sigma_i)'/\{\pm1\})$ is a s a lower crystal base of $V(\lambda;\sigma_i)$ as a $U_{m|0}$-module and a upper crystal base as a $U_{0|n}$-module, and

$$\mathscr{L}(\lambda)'=\bigoplus_{i\in Y_\lambda}\mathscr{L}(\lambda;\sigma_i)',\quad \mathscr{B}(\lambda)'=\bigsqcup_{i\in Y_\lambda}\mathscr{B}(\lambda;\sigma_i)'. \tag{6.1}$$

6.2. For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\theta_\ell : K(\lambda) \longrightarrow K(\lambda + \ell\delta_+)$$

be a U^--linear map such that $\theta_\ell(1_\lambda) = 1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}$. It is well-defined and indeed an isomorphism of U^--modules. If we identify $V_{m|0}(\lambda_+ + \ell\delta_+)$ with $V_{m|0}(\lambda_+) \otimes D^\ell$, where D^ℓ is the one dimensional $U_{m|0}$-module with highest weight vector $v_{\ell\delta_+}$ of weight $\ell\delta_+$, then we have

$$\theta_\ell(u \otimes v_+ \otimes v_-) = u \otimes (v_+ \otimes v_{\ell\delta_+}) \otimes v_-$$

for $u \in K$, $v_+ \in V_{m|0}(\lambda_+)$ and $v_- \in V_{0|n}(\lambda_-)$. In particular, we have $\theta_\ell(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))) = \mathscr{L}(K(\lambda + \ell\delta_+))$. Let

$$S(\lambda, \ell\delta_+) : V(\lambda) \otimes V(\ell\delta_+) \longrightarrow V(\lambda)$$

be a U^--linear map given by $S(\lambda, \ell\delta_+)(v \otimes v_{\ell\delta_+}) = v$ and $S(\lambda, \ell\delta_+)(v \otimes w) = 0$ for $v \in V(\lambda)$ and $w \in V(\ell\delta_+) \setminus V(\ell\delta_+)_{\ell\delta_+}$. Let

$$\Phi(\lambda, \ell\delta_+) : V(\lambda + \ell\delta_+) \longrightarrow V(\lambda) \otimes V(\ell\delta_+)$$

be an injective U-module homomorphism given by $\Phi(\lambda, \ell\delta_+)(v_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}) = v_\lambda \otimes v_{\ell\delta_+}$. Since $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\ell\delta_+)$ is completely reducible [1, Theorem 2.12] and $U(v_\lambda \otimes v_{\ell\delta_+}) \cong V(\lambda + \ell\delta_+)$, $\Phi(\lambda, \ell\delta_+)$ is well-defined. We put

$$\vartheta_{-\ell} = S(\lambda, \ell\delta_+) \circ \Phi(\lambda, \ell\delta_+) : V(\lambda + \ell\delta_+) \longrightarrow V(\lambda),$$

which is U^--linear. Now, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K(\lambda + \ell\delta_+) \arrow[r, "\pi_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}"] \arrow[d, "\theta_{-\ell}"] & V(\lambda + \ell\delta_+) \arrow[r, "\Phi(\lambda,\ell\delta_+)"] \arrow[d, "\vartheta_{-\ell}"] & V(\lambda) \otimes V(\ell\delta_+) \arrow[dl, "S(\lambda,\ell\delta_+)"] \\
K(\lambda) \arrow[r, "\pi_\lambda"] & V(\lambda) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps are U^--linear and the horizontal ones are U-linear. Note that if we take $\ell \gg 0$ such that the partition corresponding to $\lambda + \ell\delta_+$ contains the rectangle (n^m), then $\mathrm{ch}K(\lambda + \ell\delta_+) = \mathrm{ch}V(\lambda + \ell\delta_+)$ [2, Theorm 6.20] and hence $K(\lambda + \ell\delta_+) = V(\lambda + \ell\delta_+)$ or $\pi_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}$ is an isomorphism.

6.3. We will first prove Theorem 4.11 for $\lambda + \ell\delta_+ \in \widetilde{P}^+$ with $\ell \gg 0$. For $b \in \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}$, let $u(b) \in U^-$ be a homogeneous element such that $u(b)1_\lambda \in \mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))$ and $u(b)1_\lambda \equiv b \mod q\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))$. By Nakayama's lemma, $\{\, u(b)1_\lambda \,|\, b \in \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}\,\}$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-basis of $\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))$ (and hence a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis of $K(\lambda)$). Moreover, $\{\, \theta_\ell(u(b)1_\lambda) = u(b)1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} \,|\, b \in \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\}\,\}$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-basis of $\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))$

since θ_ℓ is a U^--linear isomorphism with $\theta_\ell(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))) = \mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))$. So, we can take a set of homogeneous vectors

$$\mathscr{U}(\lambda) = \{\, u_1, \ldots, u_d \,\} \subset U^-$$

such that $\{\, u_1\, 1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}, \ldots, u_d\, 1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} \,\}$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-basis of $\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $d = \dim K(\lambda)$.

Now, we choose $\ell \gg 0$ such that

(1) $V(\lambda+\ell\delta_+) = K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)$,
(2) $q^{2\ell}\, t_0^2\, e_0''(u) 1_\lambda \in q\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))$ for all $u \in \mathscr{U}(\lambda)$.

Since $t_0^2\, e_0''(u)1_\lambda = q^{2\langle h_0, \lambda+\alpha+\alpha_0\rangle} e_0''(u)1_\lambda$ with $\mathrm{wt}(u) = \alpha$, the condition (2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} t_0^2\, e_0''(u)1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} &= q^{2\langle h_0, \lambda+\alpha+\alpha_0+\ell\delta_+\rangle}\, e_0''(u)1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_-} \\ &= q^{2\langle h_0, \lambda+\alpha+\alpha_0\rangle+2\ell}\, e_0''(u)1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} \\ &= \theta_\ell(q^{2\ell}\, t_0^2\, e_0''(u)1_\lambda) \in q\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)). \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

We claim that

$$\widetilde{e}_k\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)' \subset \mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)', \quad \widetilde{f}_k\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)' \subset \mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)' \tag{6.3}$$

for $k \in I$. By (1), we have $\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)' = \pi_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))) = \mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))$, but to emphasize that the crystal operators in (6.3) are those on the modules in $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ (that is, $\widetilde{e}_0 = q^{-1}t_0e_0$ in (3.5)), we use the notation $\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)'$.

It is clear that $\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)'$ is invariant under $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in I \setminus \{0\}$ since $\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)'$ is a lower crystal lattice as a $U_{m|0}$-module and a upper crystal lattice as a $U_{0|n}$-module (see (6.1)). Also we have $\widetilde{f}_0\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)' = f_0\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)' \subset \mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)'$ since $\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))$ is invariant under $\widetilde{f}_0 = f_0$. So it remains to show that $\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)'$ is invariant under $\widetilde{e}_0$. Let $u \in \mathscr{U}(\lambda)$ be given with $\mathrm{wt}(u) = \alpha$. Then

$$e_0u = (-1)^{|\alpha|}ue_0 + \frac{t_0e_0''(u) - t_0^{-1}e_0'(u)}{q-q^{-1}}$$

or

$$q^{-1}t_0e_0u = (-1)^{|\alpha|}q^{-1}t_0ue_0 + \frac{e_0'(u) - t_0^2e_0''(u)}{1-q^2},$$

which implies that

$$\widetilde{e}_0(u1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}) = q^{-1}t_0e_0u1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} = \frac{1}{1-q^2}\left(e_0'(u)1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} - t_0^2e_0''(u)1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}\right). \tag{6.4}$$

Since $u\, 1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} \in \mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))$, we have $e_0'(u)1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} \in \mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))$ by (4.6). By (6.2), we have $t_0^2e_0''(u)1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} \in q\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))$. Therefore, $q^{-1}t_0e_0u1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} \in \mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))$. This proves that $\widetilde{e}_0\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)' \subset \mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)'$.

By (6.3), $\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)'$ is a crystal lattice of $V(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)$, and by the uniqueness of a crystal lattice [1, Lemma 2.7 (iii)], we have

$$\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)' = \mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+). \tag{6.5}$$

It is clear that the induced map $\overline{\pi}_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} : \mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))/q\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)) \to \mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)/q\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)$ commutes with $\widetilde{e}_k$, $\widetilde{f}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_0$ ($k \in I\setminus\{0\}$). Also by (6.4), we have $\widetilde{e}_0(u1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}) \equiv e'_0(u)1_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} \mod q\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)$ and hence $\overline{\pi}_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}$ commutes with $\widetilde{e}_0$. Therefore, $\overline{\pi}_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}(\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))) = \mathscr{B}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)$ by Theorem 4.8, and we have a weight preserving bijection

$$\overline{\pi}_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} : \mathscr{B}(K(\lambda+\ell\delta_+))/\{\pm1\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)/\{\pm1\}, \tag{6.6}$$

which commutes with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in I$. By (6.5) and (6.6), Theorem 4.11 holds for $\lambda+\ell\delta_+$ for $\ell \gg 0$.

6.4. Let ℓ be as in Section 6.3. Since $\Phi(\lambda,\ell\delta_+)(\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)) \subset \mathscr{L}(\lambda)\otimes\mathscr{L}(\ell\delta_+)$ and $S(\lambda,\ell\delta_+)(\mathscr{L}(\lambda)\otimes\mathscr{L}(\ell\delta_+)) = \mathscr{L}(\lambda)$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)/q\mathscr{L}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+) \arrow[r, "\overline{\Phi(\lambda,\ell\delta_+)}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}"] & \mathscr{L}(\lambda)\otimes\mathscr{L}(\ell\delta_+)/q\mathscr{L}(\lambda)\otimes\mathscr{L}(\ell\delta_+) \arrow[dl, "\overline{S(\lambda,\ell\delta_+)}"] \\
\mathscr{L}(\lambda)/q\mathscr{L}(\lambda) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since

$$\overline{\Phi(\lambda,\ell\delta_+)}(\mathscr{B}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)/\{\pm1\}) \subset \mathscr{B}(\lambda)\otimes\mathscr{B}(\ell\delta_+)/\{\pm1\},$$
$$\overline{S(\lambda,\ell\delta_+)}(\mathscr{B}(\lambda)\otimes\mathscr{B}(\ell\delta_+)/\{\pm1\}) \subset \mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm1\}\cup\{0\},$$

$\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}$ induces a map

$$\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}} : \mathscr{B}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)/\{\pm1\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm1\}\cup\{0\}.$$

Note that $\overline{\Phi(\lambda,\ell\delta_+)}$ is an injecttive map which commutes with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in I$ up to a multiplication by ±1.

Let us describe $\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}$ more explicitly. Let λ° and $(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)^\circ$ be the partitions in $\mathcal{P}_{m|n}$ corresponding to λ and $\lambda+\ell\delta_+$, respectively. Let us identify $\mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm1\}$ and $\mathscr{B}(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)/\{\pm1\}$ with $SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^\circ)$ and $SST_{\mathscr{B}}((\lambda+\ell\delta_+)^\circ)$, respectively. Also, we may identify $\mathscr{B}(\ell\delta_+)/\{\pm1\}$ with $SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\ell^m)$.

Suppose that $T \in SST_{\mathscr{B}}((\lambda+\ell\delta_+)^\circ)$ is given. By [10, Theorem 4.18], the multiplicity of $V(\lambda+\ell\delta_+)$ in $V(\lambda)\otimes V(\ell\delta_+)$ is 1, and there exist unique $T_1 \in SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^\circ)$ and $T_2 \in SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\ell^m)$ such that $T_1 \otimes T_2$ generates the same I-colored oriented graph as that of T (called crystal equivalent in [1]). Indeed, we have $T = (T_2 \to T_1) :=$

$(\psi(T_2) \to T_1)$, where ψ is as in (3.8). This implies that $\overline{\Phi(\lambda, \ell\delta_+)}(T) = T_1 \otimes T_2$. By definition of $S(\lambda, \ell\delta_+)$, we have

$$\overline{S(\lambda, \ell\delta_+)}(T_1 \otimes T_2) = \begin{cases} T_1, & \text{if } T_2 = H_{\ell\delta_+}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $H_{\ell\delta_+} \in SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\ell^m)$ is the highest weight element with weight $\ell\delta_+$ with $H_{\ell\delta_+}(i,j) = \overline{m - i + 1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Hence, we have

$$\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}(T) = \begin{cases} T_1, & \text{if } T_2 = H_{\ell\delta_+}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}$ is surjective. By Nakayama's lemma, $\vartheta_{-\ell}(\mathscr{L}(\lambda + \ell\delta_+)) = \mathscr{L}(\lambda)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\lambda(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))) &= \vartheta_{-\ell} \circ \pi_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+} \circ \theta_\ell(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda))) \\ &= \vartheta_{-\ell} \circ \pi_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}(\mathscr{L}(K(\lambda + \ell\delta_+))) \\ &= \vartheta_{-\ell}(\mathscr{L}(\lambda + \ell\delta_+)) = \mathscr{L}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 4.11 (1) and (2).

Another way to describe $\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}(T)$ is as follows; for $T \in SST_{\mathscr{B}}((\lambda + \ell\delta_+)^\circ)$, let $T_1 \in SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\lambda^\circ)$ and $T_2 \in SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\ell^m)$ be the unique tableaux such that $(T_2 \to T_1) = T$. Let T' be the sub tableau in $SST_{\mathscr{B}}(\ell^m)$ with $T'(i,j) = T(i,j)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. By considering the recording tableau of $(T_2 \to T_1)$, we see that $T' = H_{\ell\delta_+}$ if and only if $T_2 = H_{\ell\delta_+}$, and in this case T_1 is given by $T_1(i,j) = T(i, j + \ell)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i^\circ$, and $T_1(i,j) = T(i,j)$ for $i \geq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i^\circ$.

Let $T \in SST_{\mathscr{B}}((\lambda + \ell\delta_+)^\circ)$ be given such that $\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}(T) \neq 0$ and $\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}(\widetilde{x}_k T) \neq 0$ for some $k \in I$ $(x = e, f)$. Suppose that $\overline{\Phi(\lambda, \ell\delta_+)}(T) = T_1 \otimes H_{\ell\delta_+}$ for some T_1. Since $\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}(T) \neq 0$, $\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}(\widetilde{x}_k T) \neq 0$ and $\overline{\Phi(\lambda, \ell\delta_+)}(\widetilde{x}_k T) = \widetilde{x}_k \overline{\Phi(\lambda, \ell\delta_+)}(T)$, we have $\widetilde{x}_k(T_1 \otimes H_{\ell\delta_+}) = (\widetilde{x}_k T_1) \otimes H_{\ell\delta_+}$, and hence

$$\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}(\widetilde{x}_k T) = \widetilde{x}_k \overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}(T). \tag{6.7}$$

Finally, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda + \ell\delta_+))/\{\pm 1\} \arrow[r, "\overline{\pi_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{\theta_{-\ell}}"] & \mathscr{B}(\lambda + \ell\delta_+)/\{\pm 1\} \arrow[d, "\overline{\vartheta_{-\ell}}"] \\
\mathscr{B}(K(\lambda))/\{\pm 1\} \arrow[r, "\overline{\pi_\lambda}"] & \mathscr{B}(\lambda)/\{\pm 1\}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\overline{\theta_{-\ell}}$ and $\overline{\pi_{\lambda+\ell\delta_+}}$ are bijections commuting with $\widetilde{e}_k$ and $\widetilde{f}_k$ for $k \in I$. By (6.7), we conclude that Theorem 4.11 (3) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.11.

References

[1] G. Benkart, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, *Crystal bases for the quantum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n))$*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** No.2 (2000), 295-331.

[2] A. Berele, A. Regev, *Hook Young diagrams with applications to combinatorics and to the representations of Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math. **64** (1987), 118-175.

[3] J. Brundan, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formulae for the Lie superalgebra $gl(m|n)$*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003) 185–231.

[4] S.-J. Cheng, N. Lam, *Irreducible characters of general linear superalgebra and super duality*, Comm. Math. Phys. **298** (2010) 645–672.

[5] W. Fulton, *Young tableaux, with Application to Representation theory and Geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.

[6] J.C. Jantzen, *Lectures on Quantum Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Graduate Studies in Math., vol. 6, Providence, 1996.

[7] K.-H. Jeong, *Crystal bases for Kac-Moody superalgebras*, J. Algebra **237** (2001) 562–590.

[8] V. G. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math. **26** (1977), 8-96.

[9] V. G. Kac, *Representations of classical Lie superalgebras*, in Differential geometrical methods in mathematical physics II, Lecture Notes in Math. no. 676, 597–626, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.

[10] S.-J. Kang, J.-H. Kwon, *Tensor product of crystal bases for $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n))$-modules*, Comm. Math. Phys. **224** (2001), 705-732.

[11] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465-516.

[12] M. Kashiwara, T. Nakashima, *Crystal graphs for representations of the q-analogue of classical Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **165** (1994), 295-345.

[13] J.-H. Kwon, *Crystal graphs for Lie superalgebras and Cauchy decomposition*, J. Algebraic Combin. **25** (2007), 57–100.

[14] A. Lascoux, *Double crystal graphs*, Studies in Memory of Issai Schur, Progress in Math. **210**, Birkhaüser (2003), 95–114.

[15] G. Lusztig, *Quantum deformations of certain simple modules over enveloping algebras*, Adv. in Math. **70** (1988), 237–249.

[16] B. E. Sagan, R. Stanley, *Robinson-Schensted algorithms for skew tableaux*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **55** (1990), 161–193.

[17] V. Serganova, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formula for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 2 (1996) 607–651.

[18] D. Uglov, *Canonical bases of higher-level q-deformed Fock spaces and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, Physical combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999), 249–299, Progr. Math., 191, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.

[19] H. Yamane, *Quantized enveloping algebras associated to simple Lie superalgebras and universal R-matrices*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **30** (1994), 1584.

Department of Mathematics, University of Seoul, Seoul 130-743, Korea
E-mail address: `jhkwon@uos.ac.kr`